	Page 1
BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD	
IN THE MATTER OF:)	
METROPOLITAN WATER)	
RECLAMATION DISTRICT OF)	
GREATER CHICAGO,)	
Petitioner) No. PCB 16-28	
vs)	
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL)	
PROTECTION AGENCY,)	
)	
Respondent)	
REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS held in the above entitled cause before Hearing Officer Bradley Halloran, called by the Illinois Pollution Control Board, taken by Steven Brickey, CSR, RMR, for the State of Illinois, 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 4th day of August, 2020, commencing at the hour of 9:02 a.m.	1

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 09/09/2020

August 4, 2020

		Page	2
1	APPEARANCES		
2	MR. BRADLEY HALLORAN, Hearing Officer MS. BARBARA FLYNN CURRIE, Chairwoman		
3	MS. MARIE TIPSORD, General Counsel MR. ANAND RAO, Technical Unit		
4	MS. ESSENCE BROWN, Technical Unit MS. BRENDA CARTER		
5	MR. TIM FOX MS. ANASTASIA PALIVOS		
6			
7	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY BY: MS. STEPHANIE DIERS		
8	1021 North Grand Avenue East PO Box 19276		
9	Springfield, Illinois 62794 (217) 782-5544,		
10	stephanie.diers@illinois.gov		
11	Appeared on behalf of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency;		
12 13 14 15	BARNES & THORNBURG BY: MR. FREDRIC ANDES One North Wacker Drive Suite 4400 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 214-8310,		
16	Appeared on behalf of the Metropolitan		
17	Water Reclamation District;		
18	ALSO PRESENT: MR. SCOTT TWAIT MR. DUSTIN GALLAGHER MR. EDWARD STAUDACHER		
19	MR. KEVIN FITZPATRICK MR. THOMAS MINARIK		
20	MR. FRED ANDES MS. MARGARET CONWAY		
21			
22			
23			
24			

								Page	3
1		E X	Н :	ΙB	ΙT	S			
2							Marked for		
3							Identification		
4	None.								
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
2324									
∠4		 							

		Page 4
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm going	
2	to begin. Mr. Brickey will start transcribing	
3	anyway. Good morning. My name is Bradley	
4	Halloran. Today is August 4th, 2020. I'm also	
5	assigned to this proceeding. It is a Time-Limited	
6	Water Quality Standard proceeding. It is	
7	captioned Metropolitan Water Reclamation District	
8	of Greater Chicago, or MWRD, petitioner, versus	
9	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, the	
10	respondent, and we'll refer to them as IEPA or the	
11	Agency. This case is docketed PCB 16-28.	
12	Can somebody mute? Is somebody	
13	coughing? Thank you. In any event, we do I'm	
14	privileged to announce we do have Board member	
15	Carter present.	
16	MS. TIPSORD: And Barbara Currie.	
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And Chair	
18	Currie is also present. I'm sorry I missed that	
19	and we have various employees of the staff	
20	including Chief Environmental Scientist Anand Rao	
21	and I'm not sure if we have Essence Brown on.	
22	MS. TIPSORD: Yes.	
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, we	
24	do. Thank you.	

Page 5

1 The hearing is governed by the 2 Board's procedural rules and has been noticed up 3 properly. Please bear in mind that any questions posed today by the Board and its staff are 4 intended solely to help develop a clear and 5 6 complete record for the Board's decision and does 7 not reflect any decision on the proposal, testimony or other questions. 8 9 And just so you know, we do -the Board does have some follow-up questions. 10 11 Mr. Rao has forwarded them to me. I have given 12 them to the court reporter and I will read them to 13 the respective witnesses when the time comes. Due to COVID-19, in addition to 14 15 the video conferencing, we are allowing Webex 16 participation via computer and phone. As a 17 reminder, pre-filed testimony is available to view on our clerk's office online, or COOL, through the 18 19 Board's website. Simply search the docket number 20 PCB 16-28. For the sake of our court 21 reporter, please speak clearly and avoid speaking 22 23 at the same time as the other person so that we 24 can help produce a clear transcript. For those of

Page 6 1 you who are participating by Webex either on the 2 phone or using the call me feature for sound, if 3 you want to speak during the hearing, please take 4 your phone off the speakerphone and talk into the 5 phone normally as it will produce a much clearer 6 sound. 7 If you wish to speak, you will also have to unmute yourself. All individuals 8 9 entering the Webex feed are muted upon entry. For those on a computer, you can click the microphone 10 11 symbol to unmute yourself. For those 12 participating as call-in users, you must press 13 Star 6 on your keyboard -- excuse me -- keypad to unmute yourself. 14 15 I would like to note that there 16 may be a slight delay in the Webex video so be 17 mindful of that when communicating to each other. As -- also as a result of using Webex, we are 18 19 video recording today's hearing to ensure our 20 court reporter is able to get an accurate transcript. Once the Board receives the 21 22 transcript --23 WEBEX: This meeting is being 24 recorded.

Page 7 1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 2 you. Once the Board receives the transcript, the 3 recording will be destroyed. Just a little 4 background. We're here today because on July 5 26th, 2018, MWRD filed an amended petition for a dissolved oxygen, or DO, Time-Limited Water 6 7 Quality Standard or TLWQS. MWRD is seeking TLWQS for discharges from the combined sewer overflow 8 "CSO" outfalls into the Chicago Area Waterway 9 System. MWRD requests TLWQS for CSO outfalls 10 11 covered under the National Pollutant Discharge 12 Elimination System permits issued to its O'Brien, 13 Calumet and Stickney wastewater treatment plants. On March 28th, 2019, the Board 14 15 found that MWRD's amended petition is in 16 substantial compliance with the Environmental 17 Protection Act and Board regulations. The Board 18 directed me to go ahead and schedule a public 19 hearing and that's where we are today. The Board 20 is conducting this hearing to allow MWRD, the IEPA and any other interested participants to testify 21 22 and present comments on the merits. 23 Participants may make relevant, 24 oral statements on the record and must be made

Page 8 1 under oath and subject to cross-examination. All 2. persons wishing to testify at the hearing will be 3 sworn in and subject to questioning. 4 participant may submit written statements relevant 5 to the subject matter at any time before a hearing 6 or at hearing and will be subject to 7 cross-examination. Oral public comment may be made on the record at hearing and is not subject 8 to cross-examination. 9 The way I had it in my notice I 10 11 had the hearing will proceed as follows. 12 petition, responses to the questions from the 13 Board, IEPA's responses or replies to the Board and IEPA's questions and responses will be 14 15 admitted without further testimony as if read. 16 However, anyone may object at hearing regarding these admitted documents. 17 18 An opening statement will be 19 available to the parties if they choose. 20 petitioner will commence the hearing with their witnesses. Questions may be directed to the 21 witnesses by including, but not limited to, the 22 23 Board and the IEPA. After petitioner rests, the 24 IEPA will present its witnesses. Questions may be

Page 9 1 directed to him by including, but not limited to, 2. the Board and the petitioner. 3 After the IEPA rests, members of 4 the public may ask questions, give testimony or 5 comment and I can make adjustments as needed as 6 the hearing proceeds. I do note for the record 7 that I do -- I see that Fred Andes and Margaret Conway are here on behalf of MWRD -- excuse me --8 yeah, MWRD and I think Stephanie Diers is here and 9 I think both parties indicated that they have 10 11 their witnesses available. 12 Mr. Andes, would you like to 13 give an opening statement? MR. ANDES: Thank you, Mr. Halloran. 14 15 I will only say that we have witnesses available. 16 Which witness answers a particular question will 17 depend on the question. So while Mr. Gallagher was the 18 19 initial witness who pre-filed testimony, the 20 questions may be appropriately directed at him or to someone else. Once the question is asked, I 21 22 think that Ms. Conway and I can let you know who 23 among the District witnesses would answer the 24 question.

	Page 10
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
2	you, sir. Ms. Diers, Stephanie Stephanie
3	Diers, would you like to give an opening
4	statement?
5	MS. TIPSORD: Remind them to unmute.
6	Springfield, unmute. Stephanie, did you want to
7	make you were muted. Can you hear us,
8	Springfield? Can you hear us?
9	MS. DIERS: We can hear you.
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Would
11	Stephanie Diers like to give an opening statement?
12	MS. DIERS: No, thank you.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
14	Thank you. I do note for the record that
15	Mr. Albert Ettinger is here and Ms. Stacy Meyers
16	and they may or may not have questions as well.
17	And I guess what I should do now is just,
18	Mr. Andes, do you have any, I guess, direct of
19	your witness? If not, I can go ahead and read the
20	follow-up questions provided to me by Mr. Rao.
21	MS. TIPSORD: You need to swear the
22	witness.
23	MR. ANDES: We have no direct
24	questions of our witnesses.

	Page 11
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
2	right. Thanks. So let me let Mr. Brickey
3	swear in I think you said you have two witnesses,
4	potential witnesses. I would like Mr. Brickey to
5	swear in both of them, please.
6	MS. TIPSORD: Your witnesses have to
7	unmute.
8	MR. ANDES: Yes. So that would be
9	Mr. Minarik, possibly myself, Mr. Staudacher,
10	Mr. Gallagher and I'm looking for Mr. Fitzpatrick
11	maybe on the next page.
12	So those four Mr. Staudacher,
13	Mr. Fitzpatrick, Mr. Minarik, Mr. Gallagher should
14	all unmute and say, "I do."
15	MR. MINARIK: I do.
16	MR. FITZPATRICK: I do.
17	MR. STAUDACHER: I do.
18	MR. GALLAGHER: I do.
19	MR. ANDES: I do.
20	WHEREUPON:
21	DUSTIN GALLAGHER, EDWARD STAUDACHER, KEVIN
22	FITZPATRICK, THOMAS MINARIK and FREDRIC ANDES
23	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
24	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:

	Page 12
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I only
2	counted three.
3	MS. TIPSORD: For the court
4	reporter, that's Dustin Gallagher, Edward
5	Staudacher, S-T-A-U-D-A-C-H-E-R, Kevin
6	Fitzpatrick, F-I-T-Z-P-A-T-R-I-C-K.
7	And Mr. Andes. Don't forget you
8	have to identify yourselves when you speak.
9	MR. ANDES: Mr. Minarik was the
10	fourth.
11	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
12	Terrific. I believe they're all sworn in and as
13	well as yourself, Mr. Andes.
14	I'm going to proceed with the
15	first question directed towards MWRD and you can
16	decide which witness or witnesses are best is
17	best to answer.
18	Number one. In response to
19	Board's follow-up question 3 MWRD submitted
20	responsive information in the reports and
21	documents included in Attachment's A, B as in boy,
22	C, D, as in dog, and E.
23	Please explain how the
24	information presented in Attachment C, Exhibit C,

Page 13 1 tables relate to green infrastructure. 2 MR. ANDES: Well, let me -- let me make sure I have Attachment C in front of all of 3 4 us so we're clear on what the Board is asking for. 5 So in -- in -- can staff specify 6 which particular -- as I ramp up the attachment 7 because the attachments are fairly voluminous, which particular attachment you're referring to? 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 9 Attachment C. Exhibit C. 10 11 MR. ANDES: Okay. As we go to 12 Attachment C -- okay. So Exhibit C or Attachment 13 C appears to be a list of permits issued for green infrastructure projects. 14 15 So let me ask of the District witnesses which -- which one of us would like to 16 17 answer the question concerning Attachment C, which 18 is the list of green infrastructure permits for projects? I'm thinking that might be Mr. Minarik. 19 20 MS. TIPSORD: Okay. You're all 21 muted. You have to unmute yourself. Either Star 6 on your phone or click the microphone on the 22 23 computer. 24 MR. MINARIK: Yeah, this is

	Page 14
1	Mr. Minarik here. I don't think I'm the right
2	person to discuss the green infrastructure
3	projects. I think that might be our engineering
4	folks.
5	MR. ANDES: That would be
6	Mr. Staudacher then.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
8	right. Mr. Staudacher, if you can unmute.
9	MR. STAUDACHER: Can you hear me
10	now?
11	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
12	MR. STAUDACHER: Okay. I'm looking
13	at it right now. It appears to be what Mr. Andes
14	said it is, the list of permits for green
15	infrastructure projects.
16	MS. TIPSORD: Anand is trying to get
17	a follow up.
18	MR. RAO: Mr. Andes, can you hear
19	me?
20	MR. ANDES: Yes.
21	MR. RAO: Basically what I want from
22	the District was kind of explain what information
23	from the table that you submitted like, for
24	example, GI volume, gallons and permit number, but

Page 15

there are no details about who the permit was issued to and what it means when you say GI volume and gallons. Just an explanation of the table would be helpful.

2.

MR. ANDES: Okay. Well, I think, and Mr. Staudacher can feel free to supplement, my understanding is these attachments, including that one, were appendices to Attachment A. Attachment A was the infrastructure chapter in the District's annual report under the consent decree.

So Chapter 5 of that annual report describes the District's current green infrastructure plan and program and the additional documents were included in a thumb drive. That was attached to that annual report this particular list of permits for the green infrastructure project which shows the volume captured and the gallons were -- was included in the form as an attachment to that annual report.

So I think that it refers back to the annual report Chapter 5, which is Attachment A, and we can provide further information, but this is the form in which this document was attached to Chapter 5 of the decree

Page 16 1 annual report. 2 MR. RAO: Okay. If you can provide 3 any additional information that links the tables 4 to the report would be helpful. You can do it in 5 writing if that's okay. 6 Sure, we can do that. MR. ANDES: 7 MR. RAO: Thank you. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 8 Okay. I'm moving on to Question 2 and it's in two parts. 9 In response to Board's follow-up 10 11 question 8, MWRD states that there is no need to 12 reconfirm and revalidate the Marquette Model since 13 the model was based on data from three years; 2001, 2003 and 2008. 14 15 Part A of this question reads 16 "If the information in Dr. Melching's report is 17 outdated and the model is no longer valid for 18 recent data, please explain why MWRD is relying on 19 Dr. Melching's report to support its TLWQS 20 petition." 21 MR. ANDES: Can you repeat that question again? I'm sorry. I want to make sure 22 23 we get it. 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: "If the

Page 17 1 information in Dr. Melching's report is outdated 2 and the model is no longer valid for recent data, 3 please explain why MWRD is relying on 4 Dr. Melching's report to support its TLWQS 5 petition." Well, I'll start the 6 MR. ANDES: 7 answer and then Mr. Fitzpatrick or Mr. Minarik may But, to be clear, the District is not 8 respond. saying that the model is outdated at all. 9 District is stating there is no need to reconfirm 10 11 and revalidate. The results are still applicable. 12 We are simply pointing out in 13 the response that if one were to tell the District to rerun the model, you would want to do that 14 15 again with including data from more recent years, 16 but that is no longer possible because the model 17 has been discontinued. We still think the results 18 are valid and applicable and no need to reconfirm 19 and revalidate, but I'll defer to Mr. Minarik or 20 Mr. Fitzpatrick for any further elaboration. MR. MINARIK: This is Mr. Minarik. 21 I would agree with what Fred said there. We don't 22 23 see a reason to rerun the model and it's not

possible to do it right now. The DuFlow Model I

24

	Page 18
1	think is discontinued. So we'd have to run a new
2	model if we were to do that.
3	MR. FITZPATRICK: This is Kevin
4	Fitzpatrick. The model itself is valid. It's
5	older data, but there's no reason to rerun it with
6	newer data.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you
8	repeat that, please?
9	MR. FITZPATRICK: I think we're
10	agreeing with what Fred said, that the model is
11	valid and it just uses previous water years data
12	as opposed to a more recent water year, but we
13	would get very similar results if we could run it.
14	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Rao,
15	any follow up?
16	MS. TIPSORD: Mr. Rao, you're muted.
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Any
18	follow up, Mr. Rao? I think you're still muted.
19	MS. TIPSORD: He's unmuted now. He
20	should be.
21	MR. RAO: Can you hear me now?
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
23	MR. RAO: Okay. I have a
24	clarification. You mentioned that even if you

Page 19 1 rerun the model with the current flow conditions the results will be the same. 2. 3 So are you saying in the Calumet 4 System nothing has changed since the last 12 years that will affect the outcome if you run the model? 5 6 MR. FITZPATRICK: This is Kevin 7 I don't think that's the case. Certainly again. 8 the Calumet System is cleaner than it was before, 9 but I think what we're saying is as long as there is still a chance of combined sewer overflows at 10 11 some point, we're not going to always be able to 12 meet these DO requirements without putting those 13 expensive, end-of-pipe treatments on also just for 14 the very rare CSO that most likely will occur at 15 some point. 16 MR. RAO: Okay. I will let you, Mr. Halloran, ask the second part of the question 17 18 which is a follow up to what you just mentioned. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 20 The follow-up question B "Does MWRD plan to conduct modeling using the current flow 21 dynamics and DO conditions, particularly in the 22

Calumet System, to determine if supplemental

aeration would be required to comply with the

23

24

	Page 20
1	generally applicable DO standards within the terms
2	of the TLWQS if granted?
3	If not, please explain what
4	measures would be undertaken to achieve compliance
5	given that the TCR is fully operational." Mr.
6	Andes? Unmute, please.
7	MS. TIPSORD: He's still muted.
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think
9	you're still muted, Mr. Andes.
10	MR. ANDES: Yes. Sorry. Would you
11	repeat the question so we can get the full
12	question?
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
14	Certainly. "Does MWRD plan to conduct modeling
15	using the current flow dynamics and DO conditions,
16	particularly in the Calumet System, to determine
17	if supplemental aeration would be required to
18	comply with the general applicable somebody
19	is
20	MS. TIPSORD: It's Mr. Andes.
21	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: If
22	supplemental aeration would be required to comply
23	with the generally applicable DO standards within
24	the terms of the TLWQS if granted.

Page 21 1 If not, please explain what 2 measures would be undertaken to achieve compliance 3 given that the TCR is fully operational." 4 MR. ANDES: Okay. Well, I think --5 let me -- let me start to respond and then Mr. Minarik or others can answer more fully. But 6 7 I do not believe there is a plan to re-do the entire analysis. 8 As was stated, it is possible 9 that there will be future CSO's and can cause 10 11 violations of the standards. The concept of --12 this will be re-evaluated at the end of the term 13 of the TLWQS to determine what measures need to be instituted after that, but the concept of 14 15 evaluating over this time period is to determine will it continue, if so, how many will occur and 16 17 all will be evaluated at the end of the term when there's a sufficient time period to evaluate the 18 19 trends and what, in fact, other measures might be 20 necessary. I'll defer to Mr. Minarik or Mr. Fitzpatrick or Mr. Staudacher to add onto 21 22 that. 23 MR. MINARIK: This is Mr. Minarik 24 We will continue to do our monthly ambient here.

Page 22

water quality monitoring in the Calumet System and the continuous dissolved oxygen monitoring in the waterways and take a look at that over a five-year period and look at the statistics and see what sort of trends we can see. So that is what we would plan on doing. We don't have plans to run an additional model.

MR. RAO: So what you're saying is any measures that you may take, the compliance will be after you have the data from this initial period of five years?

MR. ANDES: I would say that the information reflected in our most recent responses the data you have heard and including in Board Question 25 so this was No. 9 in the new responses stated that -- that there is a potential for future occurrence of CSO's in the Calumet System, particularly due to the impending permanent loss of transitional reservoir capacity and that would be occurring in the next year or so and, in effect, excuse me, the occurrence of CSO's at some point if it's determined that CSO's will not be occurring the TLWQS may not be necessary as to CSO's in the system. That can be evaluated at the

	Page 23
1	end of the initial five-year term.
2	MR. RAO: Thank you.
3	MR. ETTINGER: Mr. Halloran, I don't
4	know how you wish to organize this. I'm
5	interested in this model and what has changed in
6	the system now, too.
7	Would you like me to ask those
8	questions now or hold them for later?
9	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You are
10	next, Mr. Ettinger. I was going to do it
11	alphabetically, Mr. Ettinger and Ms. Meyers, but I
12	wanted to ask Chair Currie or Member Carter if
13	they have any questions.
14	If not, we can move to
15	Mr. Ettinger.
16	MS. TIPSORD: Chairman Currie is
17	shaking her head no.
18	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
19	right. It doesn't appear they have any questions.
20	If they do, they can let me know, but
21	Mr. Ettinger, go ahead, please.
22	MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. Was that
23	all the questions for the Board now?
24	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No. No.

Page 24

That's just for the MWRD. We still have the IEPA witnesses, a couple of questions.

2.

MR. ETTINGER: Okay. But that's all the questions of the Board for MWRD. I'm just trying to figure out where we are in the program here.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Correct.

MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Let me first introduce myself for the court reporter. I'm Albert Ettinger. I'm representing the Illinois chapter of the Sierra Club today and I apologize. I guess I should have worn a tie. I wasn't aware that was -- it hadn't occurred to me to wear a tie to go from one side of my bedroom to the other.

So I guess I'm interested in this -- the notion as to what hasn't changed since the model was run 15 years ago and I'm trying to just understand that a little better. So as I understand, the model predicted what CSO's there were from a given amount of rainfall and that's what they used those years for and then they predicted what -- what the dissolved oxygen levels would be given that level of rainfall and that level of CSO, is that correct?

Page 25 MR. ANDES: Mr. Minarik, perhaps, 1 2 can answer the question. 3 MR. MINARIK: Yes. As I understand 4 it, that's correct. 5 MR. ETTINGER: So presumably with 6 the building of TARP, we have a different level of 7 CSO's based on the amount of rain. So because we have it all in storage now, we're going to have 8 9 fewer CSO's given TARP than we had in 2003, the year for instance, one of the years in which the 10 11 model was run, isn't that correct? 12 MR. MINARIK: Yes, that would be 13 correct. 14 MR. ETTINGER: So I quess I'm having 15 trouble understanding how nothing has changed when 16 we build the TARP system precisely to change the 17 very things we were modeling. MR. ANDES: Let me start and then 18 19 Mr. Minarik can add on. Again, I think TARP was 20 discussed as part of the measures the District will be implementing -- is implementing and will 21 22 be implementing under both the consent decree and 23 the TLWOS, but the need for the variance is not 24 based on, well, we are going to have a specific

Page 26 1 number of CSO's, but rather as long as there are 2. CSO's in the system, the TLWOS, the variance is 3 needed. So the fact that while in the Calumet 4 River System the number of CSO's occurring is less 5 than it was earlier, that was expected, but 6 there's still the possibility of recurring in the 7 system and as long as those are recurring the variance is still needed. 8 9 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. MR. ANDES: I'll let Mr. Minarik add 10 11 on to that. 12 MR. FITZPATRICK: This is Kevin 13 Fitzpatrick. Can I just add a little on to that? 14 MR. ANDES: Sure. 15 MR. FITZPATRICK: I think that 16 was -- I didn't mean to say that nothing is 17 changing in all this. But I think that the point 18 of the report is supplemental aeration would be 19 needed throughout the system and very costly. Now 20 with TARP online, we still have a chance for a CSO, but much, much less frequently. So those 21 very expensive scheduled improvements to increase 22 23 aeration would still be needed, but much, much 24 less frequently.

Page 27

1 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. So -- sorry. 2 This is even harder for people to understand who 3 is finished with their answer. 4 So as I started off saying, I 5 thought the second part of the Melching Model was 6 to predict dissolved oxygen levels given a certain 7 level of combined sewer overflow, is that correct? MR. MINARIK: I mean, that's what a 8 9 model will do. It takes inputs and gives you an output, but at the end of the day it is still a 10 11 model and, you know, we -- we definitely know 12 there are improvements that have occurred in the 13 Calumet System, CSO's have been significantly 14 reduced, but we need the five years to really try 15 to understand that and see what those improvements 16 are over time. It wouldn't be -- make sense to re-do the model right now because five years from 17 18 now we're going to know a lot more than we know 19 right now. 20 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I really am not arguing for doing anything. I'm just trying 21 to figure out what has changed and what hasn't 22 23 changed. 24 And the model -- one of the

	Page 28
1	things the model does is predict dissolved oxygen
2	levels based on a level of combined sewer
3	overflows, that's correct, right? And if that's
4	correct, has anything else changed in terms of
5	sewage treatment for up factors in the system that
6	might alter the prediction of what kind of
7	dissolved oxygen level you would have based on
8	that level of combined sewer overflow?
9	MR. MINARIK: Well, there would be
10	other things that would be influencing the DO
11	beyond the CSO, you know, stormwater runoff.
12	There has been some changes with the diversion of
13	Lake Michigan water coming into the system. So
14	there are other things that have can be
15	impacting the DO.
16	MR. ETTINGER: And some of those
17	things may have changed since 2003?
18	MR. MINARIK: Yes, that's possible.
19	MR. ANDES: I think the bottom line
20	laid out in the papers is that as long as the
21	CSO's are occurring there is still the risk of
22	violation of the water quality standards and
23	that's what we're trying to address through the
24	variance if if we determine after, A, having

Page 29

additional years of data and, of course,
precipitation trends, et cetera, all influence
data.

So you can't do it just based on a few years, if we have a longer term database over the five-year time period of the variance and have assessed other impacts, including the loss of the transitional reservoir capacity which can affect how much storage capacity is available and, therefore, also the number of CSO's, those all will -- those issues all can be assessed at the end of the time period and we can determine at that point will there be CSO discharges causing violations of the water quality standards or will there not.

But the nature of the problem is certainly as we said the CSO's certainly have decreased over the last few years, but predicting what the future course of action is and the possibility of the violations is what we're talking about requiring that time period to study including the changes in reservoir capacity that's included during that time period.

MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I wasn't going

	Page 30
1	to quite get there yet, but since you brought up
2	the loss of the transitional reservoir maybe we
3	can discuss that now.
4	Can you explain somebody
5	explain when we when we determine when there's
6	going to be this loss of additional reservoir?
7	MR. ANDES: Mr. Fitzpatrick I think
8	can take that one.
9	MR. FITZPATRICK: Sure. Did you
10	just ask when we will have this loss or how we
11	determine when we will have the loss?
12	MR. ANDES: Yeah, I'm getting a lot
13	of clicking and banging here. So I'm not sure
14	what anybody is hearing, but actually maybe the
15	best thing for you is to just explain briefly, you
16	know, when you determined you were going to use
17	the transitional reservoir, when it came online,
18	when you learned it was coming offline.
19	Can you just sort of give me the
20	history of the transitional reservoir because this
21	was new to some of us?
22	MR. FITZPATRICK: Sure. The
23	transitional reservoir was
24	MR. ETTINGER: I'm not hearing

	Page 31
1	anything.
2	MS. TIPSORD: We lost
3	Mr. Fitzpatrick.
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr.
5	Fitzpatrick, did we lose you? Are you muted?
6	MS. TIPSORD: He's not muted.
7	MR. ANDES: He's not muted and he
8	appears to still be on the system, but we're not
9	hearing him.
10	MR. ETTINGER: He's going through a
11	transitional period.
12	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Maybe
13	he'll jump back on.
14	MS. TIPSORD: It looks like he's
15	back.
16	MR. FITZPATRICK: Hello?
17	MS. TIPSORD: There he is. He's
18	back.
19	MR. FITZPATRICK: I'm sorry. My
20	call dropped out. Can you hear me okay?
21	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
22	MR. FITZPATRICK: Okay. I heard the
23	initial question. I don't know what happened
24	afterwards, but I can give a little history of the

Page 32 1 transitional reservoir, is that what you're looking for? 2. 3 MR. ETTINGER: That's what you were 4 doing and then you went blank. 5 MR. FITZPATRICK: Okay. So the 6 transitional reservoir was originally conceived by 7 I think it was the Soil Conservation Service back when they were doing reservoirs in the '70s for 8 flood control and the MWRD partnered up with them. 9 So it really never had anything 10 11 to do with combined sewer overflows and only that 12 it is adjacent to the Thornton Composite Reservoir 13 and at some point I think in the '90s the MWRD came up with the idea to combine the two 14 15 reservoirs together and put the water into one 16 hole as opposed to two separate holes. 17 So the transitional reservoir 18 was built initially because it was going to take a 19 lot longer to get the combined sewer reservoir, 20 fully mined that was going to take all the flow online. So they were temporarily supposed to use 21 22 the west lobe of the Thornton Quarry to hold this 23 Thorn Creek floodwater from what was the SCS 24 reservoir, the transitional reservoir.

Page 33

1	Then when the north lobe was
2	mined to the capacity needed for the combined
3	reservoir, the composite reservoir, the
4	transitional reservoir was going to be taken
5	offline and flow diverted to the combined
6	reservoir. What happened was when we got the
7	combined reservoir online, we had an opportunity
8	with the mining company, Material Service, to get
9	another five years out of the transitional
10	reservoir before they'd need to start mining it.
11	So we thought it would be a good
12	idea to do that to kind of see how much volume is
13	needed composite reservoir and only have CSO's
14	in the composite reservoir and the Thorn Creek
15	floodwater would stay in the transitional
16	reservoir and that would give us an opportunity to
17	sort of work out the kinks in the composite
18	reservoir if there were any and keep the flow
19	separate.
20	So our five-year lease ends
21	actually at the end of this year. We are trying
22	to get another year out of Material Service, but
23	they have not not agreed to it yet, but they
24	definitely said we cannot have a long-term

	Page 34
1	reservoir there. They need to mine that area in
2	short order. So that is why we're I guess
3	we're relocating the Thorn Creek floodwater flow
4	to the same reservoir that the CSO flow water goes
5	into.
6	MR. ETTINGER: Well, when the
7	TARP
8	MR. FITZPATRICK: And
9	MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
10	MR. FITZPATRICK: I was just going
11	to ask if that answers your question.
12	MR. ETTINGER: I think so. I can't
13	see you at all so it's hard for me to tell when
14	you're answering my questions. So I'm being even
15	more rude than usual.
16	But the when what is going
17	to be the final capacity of the southside
18	reservoir without the transitional reservoir
19	compared to what there was when you conceived the
20	system in the first place?
21	MR. FITZPATRICK: The 4.8 billion
22	gallons that is available from combined sewer
23	overflow is
24	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We lost

	Page 35
1	him again.
2	MR. FITZPATRICK: Flood creek water.
3	MR. ANDES: I think we lost you
4	again.
5	Do we have the full capacity
6	that was planned for when TARP was designed in the
7	southside?
8	MR. FITZPATRICK: Yeah. Can you
9	hear me now?
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
11	MR. ETTINGER: I can now.
12	MR. FITZPATRICK: Okay. So the 4.8
13	billion gallons that we will have for combined
14	sewer overflow is what was conceived of for this.
15	So right now we're borrowing the 3.1 billion
16	gallons of Thorn Creek floodwater, but we have to
17	now give it back because we're giving back the
18	hole to Material Service.
19	MR. ETTINGER: So you for a while
20	you had 6.9, but now you're going back to the 4.8
21	that the TARP was designed for, is that correct?
22	MR. FITZPATRICK: 7.9 is what we had
23	temporarily, yes.
24	MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. 7.9,

Page 36

1 we're going back to 4.8. So when you -- when you 2 told U.S. EPA in 2012 that it was expected that 3 completion would be -- would end CSO's on the 4 southside, were you anticipating having 8 or 7.9? 5 MR. ANDES: Before he answers that 6 one, I'm not sure that -- I'm not sure that the 7 District ever said this would eliminate CSO's on the southside. So I don't know if, Albert, if 8 9 there is a particular statement you're referring to that said that the District guaranteed that all 10 11 CSO's would be eliminated. 12 MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. I'm going 13 to re- -- it says the response to Board Question 25 and the responses of the Metropolitan Water 14 15 Reclamation District of Greater Chicago for the 16 Pollution Control Board and this document is not 17 paginated, but I think it was filed on July 27th. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 18 Whoever 19 is not -- I'm sorry, Mr. Ettinger. Whoever is not 20 speaking, could they please mute themselves. We're getting a lot of feedback. Thank you. 21 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I don't know 22 how much of that you heard, but I'm looking at 23 24 Board Question 25 and then there's a response --

Page 37 1 I'm sorry. 2. A response that is numbered 10 below and it says, "Given the U.S. EPA's 3 4 expectation, completion of the reservoir would 5 mean that CSO's would not be a human cause source 6 of pollution that prevents attainment from the DO 7 criteria in the Calumet portion of the CAWS. Please explain why MWRD has not discussed the CRS 8 report findings with U.S. EPA" and the response, 9 which I believe is MWRD's response, was "The 10 11 referenced U.S. EPA letter was written in 2012. 12 It reflects the Agency's understanding of the situation at the time. Since then MWRD has 13 14 responded to all comments raised by U.S. EPA." 15 My question is in 2012 was the 16 expectation that the 4.8 was going to take care of 17 the CSO's on the southside or that the 7.1 whatever it is? 18 MR. ANDES: 19 Well, so let me start 20 the response and then Mr. Fitzpatrick can add to In that response, what we are basically 21 it. saying is while this EPA letter said EPA thought 22 23 that there would be no CSO's, the District has

never said that there would be no CSO's guaranteed

24

Page 38

in the south end of the system.

The EPA statement in that letter was simply incorrect. It reflected in all honesty an incorrect understanding of the situation implying that once the reservoir was completed there would be no more CSO's. The District has never said that there would be no more CSO's period.

So basically that EPA letter reflects a misunderstanding of the situation and to be clear about it in a response the exhibits to the amended petition is while the Thornton Reservoir would certainly reduce the CSO's, we did not believe that this would -- we did not in any way guarantee this would eliminate CSO's entirely and, therefore, there is still a need for the variance on part of the system.

So if that wasn't clear, what we're trying to say is the EPA in that letter reflected a misunderstanding of the factual situation as to the Calumet River System. We're trying to be clear that because of the fact that there could be CSO's that the variance is still needed for that part of the system and that that

Page 39 1 report doesn't change the fact that there still could be CSO's. 2. 3 MR. FITZPATRICK: To answer the 4 question a little bit, the 4.8 billion gallons is what is in our consent decree and what we have 5 6 always represented to the EPA that we would 7 provide and need. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Before 8 you speak, could you please identify yourself? 9 think this is Kevin Fitzpatrick. 10 11 MR. FITZPATRICK: Sorry. You're It was Kevin Fitzpatrick. 12 correct. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 14 you. 15 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. One last 16 question. You say that the aggregate company 17 definitely wants the transitional reservoir back 18 or wants to take the aggregates out of there, has 19 anybody ever figured out how much it would cost to 20 leave the aggregates in the ground versus the amount of damage that would be done by the CSO's? 21 22 Kevin Fitzpatrick MR. FITZPATRICK: 23 So we have had this discussion with -- can again. 24 you hear me?

Page 40 1 MR. ETTINGER: We can. 2 MR. FITZPATRICK: Okay. We have had 3 discussions with the quarry owner, the Material 4 Service, and notified them that we would be 5 interested in purchasing that property if it was 6 ever available. 7 We have also looked at long-term arrangements where they can continue to mine and 8 get us a hole and then we would take it back some 9 They are all very preliminarily, but the 10 11 cost they said is well north of \$100 million. 12 MR. ETTINGER: So we know --13 MR. FITZPATRICK: Sorry. They also 14 said -- this is Kevin again. They also said they 15 do not want to sell it. That's their business is 16 mining rock, not selling land. That's what they 17 told us. 18 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Well, they're 19 businessmen. 20 Have you ever determined what the value or damage done by the CSO's would be? 21 22 MR. FITZPATRICK: What the damage 23 done by the residual CSO's? 24 MR. ETTINGER: Yes, I don't know.

Page 41 1 Damage by the MR. FITZPATRICK: 2 CSO's, you're saying? 3 MR. ETTINGER: I'm just saying 4 hypothetically we know the lack of storage 5 capacity is going to have some effect. That's 6 part of your presentation here. I assume they're 7 going to be things like increased combined sewer overflows and, perhaps, some basement flooding and 8 other things like that. 9 Has anyone costed out the loss 10 11 there compared to the \$100 million that Material 12 Services says they would want? 13 MR. ANDES: Let me correct that. 14 MR. FITZPATRICK: Sorry. This is 15 Kevin Fitzpatrick. They did not say \$100 million. 16 They said well north of a hundred and they're not 17 willing to sell it because I think I asked "Is it, like, somewhere around hundred" and they said, 18 19 "Way more than that," but to answer your question 20 the residual CSO's that we've seen so far, we have not put a dollar amount to it. I don't know how 21 22 you'd could. 23 It's been very, very few. 24 have captured over 99 percent of the CSO's since

Page 42 1 Thornton came online so the residual is less than 2 1 percent. I don't know that we've tried to put a 3 dollar to any damage that might have been caused 4 if it was by them. 5 MR. ETTINGER: Well, you've had the 6 residual, though, during this period of time which 7 there have been no CSO's. So are the CSO's going to go up when the transitional reservoir --8 9 MR. FITZPATRICK: This is again --10 again in the five years, we've had the extra 11 capacity. We haven't actually gone above 4.8 billion gallons in the transitional -- in that 12 13 composite reservoir. So, you know, in these first five years, if there is any indication, we should 14 15 be very, very good with the 4.8 billion gallons. 16 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. So -- so the 17 reservoir is not as important as one might have 18 gotten the impression from the --19 MR. ANDES: Let me partially address 20 The question as stated -- the response has been can we guarantee that there won't be CSO's 21 and it's noteworthy that while we have all this 22 23 extra right now, that capacity will be gone at the 24 end of this year.

Page 43

1	So if and obviously
2	precipitation patterns change and if there are
3	events that cause CSO's during that time period,
4	the likelihood of CSO's is greater without that
5	capacity because we don't have that we don't
6	have that buffer. I think that was the point.
7	MR. ETTINGER: So you haven't needed
8	it much in the last five years, but you anticipate
9	that you might need it in the next five years and
LO	you won't have it?
L1	MR. ANDES: I think that's accurate.
L2	MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I want to ask
L3	kind of another fundamental question and this gets
L4	to some of the Board's questions, too, which is
L5	whose CSO's are we talking about? Had there been
L6	combined sewer overflows that are not owned by the
L7	District on the southside?
L8	MR. ANDES: I think the answer would
L9	be yes, but Kevin or Ed I think can answer that
20	question.
21	MR. FITZPATRICK: This is Kevin. So
22	I think we put yes, there have been to answer
23	your question. These are the ones that we listed
24	in some of our responses already.

Page 44 1 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. So when you 2 say you haven't needed the capacity to take care 3 of CSO's, are we talking about just MWRD CSO's or all of the CSO's? 4 5 MR. FITZPATRICK: All the CSO's. 6 The few CSO's that we've had have not been from 7 MWRD pipes. They have been from the Village of Phoenix and I believe Dolton and they were not a 8 result of not having enough capacity in the 9 Thornton Reservoir. They were some hydraulic 10 11 issues that we're fixing right now. 12 MR. ETTINGER: On to something 13 different. Is there -- we know there are continuing to dis- -- be some dissolved oxygen 14 15 violations on the southside, but we can filter 16 those out whether they're caused by CSO's or not 17 to some extent by looking at whether there was 18 rainfall or CSO's at that time, is that correct? 19 MR. ANDES: This --20 MR. MINARIK: This is Mr. Minarik. 21 Yes, that's correct. 22 MR. ETTINGER: Is there any way to filter out or determine whose CSO's caused a 23 24 violation if there are CSO's?

Page 45 1 MR. MINARIK: I'm not aware of a way 2 that we can do that. 3 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Well, you know 4 whether you've got a CSO or not, is that correct? 5 MR. MINARIK: Not every CSO has a 6 monitor on it. So there could be CSO's that 7 discharge and they may not be ones that are monitored. 8 9 MR. ETTINGER: Are all of yours monitored, the MWRD's? 10 11 MR. MINARIK: I don't know. Ed, 12 would you be able to help me answer that? MR. STAUDACHER: I don't have it in 13 front of me right now. So I can't say yes or no. 14 15 This is Ed Staudacher. 16 MR. ETTINGER: Let me explain my 17 problem. I'm getting a lot of noise here. This variance covers MWRD CSO's 18 19 to my understanding and the question is, how will 20 we know whether a dissolved oxygen violation has been caused by an MWRD CSO or not and are you 21 telling me there is no way to filter out whether 22 23 the DO violation was caused by another entity or 24 an MWRD CSO?

Page 46 1 MR. ANDES: I think the question, 2 and maybe this is back to Mr. Minarik, is if there's a way to determine which causes CSO's both 3 for the District and for some of the other 4 5 entities, is it -- is there any way to 6 distinguish, like, well, which caused the DO 7 violation? 8 MR. ETTINGER: Correct. 9 MR. ANDES: I think the answer is, no, there's not, but I will bring it back to 10 11 Mr. Minarik. 12 MR. MINARIK: Yes, this is 13 Mr. Minarik again. I'm not aware of a way we can do that without sampling every single CSO outfall 14 15 and then doing some kind of analysis and I don't 16 think that's practical. 17 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Let's focus on the southside. Is this true for the whole system 18 that we wouldn't be able to filter out --19 20 determine what's an MWRD CSO and what's a CSO by another entity? 21 22 MR. MINARIK: This is Tom Minarik I believe there is over 400 CSO's in the 23 again. 24 Chicago Area Waterway System. So it is just not

Page 47 practical to be able to monitor all those during a 1 2. wet weather event when they're CSO's. 3 MR. ETTINGER: I guess I'm trying to 4 figure out what we're buying here. Let's say we 5 see a CSO in part of the system or rather -- I'm 6 Take that back. sorry. 7 We see a dissolved oxygen violation in part of the system. We know there's 8 been wet weather. We know -- how will IEPA or 9 anyone else determine whether that CSO was subject 10 11 to the variance and thus legal because you've got 12 the variance or it's outside the variance because 13 somebody else did it? Is there any way to determine that? 14 15 MR. ANDES: Well, I think that what 16 you're saying is the purpose of the variance is to 17 address any possible contributions by the District CSO's to violations for water quality standards 18 19 and to ensure that the District is doing feasible 20 steps, all the different measures it laid out, to reduce its contribution to any possible DO 21 22 violations. 23 We're not trying to address the

contributions by other parties, but we're trying

24

Page 48

to say the District to reduce its possible contributions is taking all of the following steps and that's what the variance involves.

2.

We're not trying to -- and, of course, to the extent that TARP and other measures that the District takes to address as a whole operating TARP does that, that should reduce the likelihood of any CSO discharges by anybody. It helps the whole water system. We're trying specifically in terms of legal liability to only address what the District's potential liability is or any of its possible contributions to violation.

MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Well, I'm going to make up a municipality to not upset anybody. So let's say we have the Municipality of Whoville and Whoville has decided that they're not going to apply for a variance like this one and they're not going to do any green infrastructure like -- like MWRD is committed to doing and they're not going to do anything to reduce flow into the system and there is a violation of DO standards in the area of Whoville, somebody might want to criticize Whoville at that point, but would there be any way to decide whether the CSO

Page 49 was an immune CSO, so to speak, from MWRD or CSO 1 2. from Whoville who never bothered to get a 3 variance? 4 MR. ANDES: I don't think -- so the 5 issue is if you have a problem after a wet weather 6 event in terms of DO and if some group wanted to 7 find -- find a violation by some other community. The steps I think you would have to take to 8 9 determine if that problem was caused by that community I don't think we can speak to that here 10 11 and I don't think we're trying to speak to that in 12 this variance. That would be an issue to be 13 examined in that particular circumstance in terms 14 15 of was the CSO from that community, what 16 information shows you that downstream of the 17 discharge that perhaps there was a DO violation, 18 but proof that -- the level of proof there is not 19 something we're trying to speak to here in this 20 variance. We're only trying to determine potential contributions by the District CSO's and 21 22 doing things to reduce those. 23 MR. ETTINGER: Let's see. 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: For the

	Page 50
1	record, I am told that Board member Palivos has
2	also joined the meeting. Sorry, Mr. Ettinger.
3	You may proceed.
4	MR. ETTINGER: That's okay. That's
5	good.
6	MS. PALIVOS: Good morning.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Good
8	morning.
9	MR. ETTINGER: Let's look at your
10	response to IEPA Question 8, which is on this
11	is the July 27th filing. My pages aren't
12	numbered, but it's under 16A. It says, "Please
13	comment on how often MWRD closed the gates on
14	their entities during the last five years" and it
15	says, "MWR estimates that it has closed the gates
16	at least 113 times in the last five years."
17	Is that a situation in which
18	there would be a CSO then from an entity other
19	than the MWRD?
20	MR. ANDES: I think Mr. Fitzpatrick
21	can answer that one.
22	MR. FITZPATRICK: Sure. Yes. Yes,
23	there would be CSO's in those situations both from
24	the District and other entities.

Page 51 1 MR. ETTINGER: And that would be 113 2 times within the last five years? 3 MR. FITZPATRICK: That's what it 4 That would be -- we're talking about says, yes. 5 the full system now, not just Calumet? 6 MR. ETTINGER: Right. And does the 7 District keep a record of when they close the 8 gates on a municipality? 9 MR. FITZPATRICK: We keep records of when we close the gates to TARP. 10 I'm not sure 11 what exactly you mean by gates to the other 12 municipalities, but there are gates to the TARP 13 system. 14 MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. Maybe I 15 should just -- however, based on review of the 16 records as to the TARP operating conditions, MWRD 17 estimates that it has closed the gates at least 18 113 times in the last five years. That's closing 19 the whole system. 20 MR. ANDES: No, I don't think so. Let's explain that in a little bit more detail. 21 22 MR. ETTINGER: Please. 23 MR. ANDES: Because it's -- there is 24 a piece of data on it when the gate is closed, but

Page 52 rather there is certain operating conditions under

which we've closed the gates and perhaps you can explain that.

2.

MR. STAUDACHER: So one thing to keep in mind is that the TARP system is several different systems. So if we're closing gates on the Des Plaines leg because the conditions warrant closing the gates on the Des Plaines leg, then that would -- the CSO legs would -- on that leg would be activated, but the Calumet side could be wide open, you know. So you can't say that TARP -- closing the TARP system is closing the whole system.

MR. ANDES: Also, Ed, talk a little bit about the operating conditions that lead you to close the gates and that's the information you've reviewed in providing this answer.

MR. STAUDACHER: So we looked at how often -- for certain legs we looked at, those legs were full and how are the reservoir conditions where we would close the gates to the reservoir. So at that point the system would have been full and then, you know, it would go out as CSO's.

MR. ETTINGER: I hate to take up

Page 53 1 everybody's time on this, but I'm a little 2. confused on this and I think it does go to the 3 basic enforceability of what we're doing here. 4 The question from IEPA was 5 "Please comment on how often MWRD closed the gates 6 on other entities during the last five years." 7 my impression reading that question and the answer 8 is you closed the gates on particular entities. Are we instead talking about a 9 10 closure of the reservoir system as a whole or, I'm 11 sorry, a portion of the reservoir system? 12 MR. STAUDACHER: Well, the TARP 13 system is broken up into several different 14 systems. So the Calumet side was probably open 15 the entire time where the mainstream Des Plaines 16 side would be closed. 17 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Let's say we 18 closed the Des Plaines side and let's say we've 19 got MWRD CSO's going into TARP. We have MWRD 20 pipes that were going into the Des Plaines side and we have pipes from other entities that were 21 going to go into the Des Plaines side. 22 23 If you close that gate on the 24 Des Plaines Reservoir and you have CSO's, you're

	Page 54
1	going to have CSO's, you're going to have CSO's
2	from the MWRD and other entities, is that correct?
3	MR. STAUDACHER: If I close all the
4	gates from the mainstream Des Plaines system, then
5	we'll have CSO's from other entities and MWRD.
6	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
7	Gentlemen, you've been doing a great job so far,
8	but please any non-speakers mute themselves and
9	then unmute when needed. Thank you.
10	MR. ETTINGER: Okay. So we close
11	the gates, we've got CSO's and some of those CSO's
12	are covered by the variance and others aren't
13	assuming the variance is granted?
14	MR. STAUDACHER: Yes.
15	MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Are there
16	sources of wet weather pollution into the system
17	other than combined sewer overflows?
18	MR. MINARIK: This is Thomas
19	Minarik. There could be stormwater runoff.
20	MR. ETTINGER: That's what I'm
21	asking. Do you know how much stormwater runoff
22	there is in the system?
23	MR. MINARIK: No, not exactly.

Page 55 1 that? 2 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 3 I'm sorry. Mr. Ettinger, the non-speaker has to mute himself and then, you know, unmute when 4 5 needed. I think we have at least two, the witness and Mr. Ettinger, on at the same time and it's not 6 7 working. MR. ETTINGER: I should mute as soon 8 9 as --MR. ANDES: I think there is some 10 11 information available as to stormwater sources 12 and/or their extent. That may have been included 13 in the assumptions in the modeling that was done 14 previously in terms of presence of those other 15 sources. 16 I don't believe there has been a 17 measurement of stormwater sources, but I think 18 there were assumptions in the various studies that 19 were done to support the variances as to the 20 extent of those sources. 21 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Just -- does 22 somebody have a -- can you give me an estimate of 23 what percentage of the wet weather flow into the 24 system is from stormwater as compared to

Page 56 1 stormwater overflows? 2 MR. ANDES: I think there are 3 numbers on that in previous reports and we can 4 certainly go back and check. 5 MR. ETTINGER: Yeah, I'm just trying 6 to figure out, again, the significance of that 7 flow into the system as opposed to the CSO flows so that it might be possible to sort out what is 8 causing an issue that is covered by the variance 9 versus what is not covered by the variance. 10 11 that, I'm going to conclude and I thank you. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 13 you, Mr. Ettinger. Ms. Meyers, do you have any 14 questions of the District's witnesses? 15 MS. MEYERS: Yes, please. 16 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Proceed. 17 MS. MEYERS: Thank you. For the record, my name is Stacy Meyers, M-E-Y-E-R-S, and 18 19 I'm senior counsel for Openlands, 20 O-P-E-N-L-A-N-D-S. So I guess the main questions 21 that I would like to pose to MWRD this morning are 22 23 regarding green infrastructure. The Illinois 24 Environmental Protection Agency asked if MWRD has

Page 57

evaluated other measures to reduce the chance of future CSO discharges into the Calumet System and MWRD pointed towards the green infrastructure work that it is doing in collaboration with many within its jurisdiction.

2.

So my confusion is there seems to be a duality to this, the answers that I'm seeing, in the importance of green infrastructure around yet the insignificance of green infrastructure when it comes to CSO's as a solution and I would like to pose my questions with that in mind.

Infrastructure Program Plan that MWRD submitted as an exhibit to its answers, it says that "Green infrastructure measures employed in conjunction with conventional gray infrastructures -- infrastructure measures such as tunnels and reservoirs is the most effective way to reduce flooding and CSO's" and I'm wondering if MWRD outside of that plan to look at the math, right, as to how many billion gallons we need or are we projecting that we will likely need to control CSO's, how much green infrastructure can

Page 58 1 contribute to reductions in the volume that we 2. need to capture and hold? 3 MR. ANDES: Well, I'll start off and 4 then other folks in the District may add, but I 5 think the statements in that program plan are consistent with the petition here in saying that 6 7 the District's key measures that it will implement as reduction measures to reduce the levels are the 8 9 TARP system and the infrastructure plan. The District committed to take 10 11 measures in the green infrastructure -- in the 12 consent decree on green infrastructure. 13 doing those things. It believes those things will continue to reduce the level of CSO's, but 14 15 there -- again, it has never promised that it will 16 eliminate and I think the history of green 17 infrastructure measures around the country indicates that it is not something that 18 19 necessarily eliminates CSO's, but the program to reduce them and the District is taking active 20 measures as laid out in the decree and TLWQS to 21 implement those measures. 22 23 In an adaptive way, green 24 infrastructure is necessarily adaptive where you

Page 59 1 try some things and you see if they work and then 2 you implement them more fully and that's all part 3 of the plan, but I'll defer to Mr. Staudacher if 4 they have any further comments on that. 5 MR. STAUDACHER: This is 6 Mr. Staudacher. I have no further comments. 7 MR. FITZPATRICK: This is Kevin. Neither do I. I think what you said is accurate, 8 9 Fred. Let me just clarify. You know, our TARP system is our 10 11 long-term control plan for CSO's and under the consent decree and as an agency we are always 12 13 looking to improve what we can do. So we'll 14 always continue to pursue green infrastructure to 15 help reduce CSO's further and further, but like 16 Fred said I don't think it's even possible to 17 eliminate them with all the green infrastructure 18 that would be practicable. 19 MS. MEYERS: Okay. I'm looking at 20 the numbers at this point. My confusion lies in that I'm -- I'm sure that you guys have done a lot 21 of homework in order to determine what is feasible 22 and what is not feasible, but it would be very 23 24 helpful for us to see the numbers to understand

Page 60 1 that and as far as the consent decree my 2 understanding is that the consent decree requires 3 10 million of stormwater capture through green 4 infrastructure, right? 5 MR. ANDES: That's correct. 6 MS. MEYERS: And that is part of the 7 way that MWRD is approaching combined sewer overflows as part of the consent decree and also 8 9 as part of the -- for water quality purposes, 10 correct? 11 MR. FITZPATRICK: This is Kevin. 12 Can you repeat that? 13 MS. MEYERS: Sure. Green 14 infrastructure is part of the solution to reduce 15 CSO's, correct? 16 MR. FITZPATRICK: Yes. 17 MS. MEYERS: And within what you 18 guys produced in 2015 for the 2014 requirement on 19 the green infrastructure plan, on Page 9 it says 20 that you were initiating five pilot studies in 2015 with the intent to ultimately develop a 21 stormwater master plan for Cook County to address 22 a hundred year flooding and what I'm wondering is 23 24 if that plan is underway and being developed and,

Page 61 1 if so, how you are calculating the volume 2. reductions that green infrastructure can provide 3 in addition to TARP to reduce CSO's? 4 MR. FITZPATRICK: This is Kevin 5 Fitzpatrick. Our stormwater master planning 6 people aren't on the line with us, but they are 7 working on stormwater master plans for Cook County. They did do a study to determine what it 8 9 would take to protect against the hundred year storm and it was extremely high. 10 11 I'm not sure what, but they are pursuing green infrastructure throughout Cook 12 13 County as part of stormwater master planning and efforts. So I think maybe the best thing would be 14 15 to respond in writing to that one. MS. MEYERS: That would be fine and 16 17 I think if we can distinguish between the Water Management Ordinance Technical Advisory 18 19 Committee's work on looking at capture and 20 detention release rates versus how much green infrastructure is feasible throughout the county 21 to reduce CSO's, that would be helpful. 22 23 MR. FITZPATRICK: Yeah, I don't know 24 if we have that information, but we can check with

Page 62 1 them. 2. MS. MEYERS: So considering that --3 Fred, you had mentioned that other cities and other states, you know, Philadelphia's Green City 4 5 Clean Waters Initiative has reduced volume by 1.7 6 billion gallons through its Green Infrastructure 7 Program and has conducted a pretty comprehensive study as well as plan as to how much green 8 infrastructure can feasibly reduce CSO's as well 9 as how much green infrastructure they can feasibly 10 11 build in collaboration with others and I would be 12 interested to see what MWRD has done that is 13 comparable and what MWRD could do that is comparable in order for you to understand the 14 15 numbers that green infrastructure could contribute 16 to CSO's. 17 MR. ANDES: Before we answer on 18 that, and we can certainly provide further 19 information, I have to question why these 20 questions haven't been raised previously? There have been multiple opportunities when we've 21 22 submitted our testimony to submit questions that 23 we could answer. 24 So we're getting a lot of

Page 63 1 detailed questions now that in all honesty there 2. were at least two, if not three, opportunities to 3 raise these questions before. 4 MS. MEYERS: I'm responding to the 5 answers to the questions which were put forward by 6 MWRD. 7 MR. ANDES: Our Green Infrastructure 8 Program has been a part of this variance and we 9 have provided information about that throughout the process. So, to me, these questions could 10 11 have been raised at any point during the process. 12 We will provide further information at this point, 13 but, you know, we did not expect to have someone on to answer these questions since they have never 14 15 been raised before in the process. 16 MS. MEYERS: I fully appreciate putting them in writing and I have no problem with 17 18 I am following up on questions that the that. 19 Agency has posited and the answers that you 20 provided and it sparked questions for me to bring forward in this hearing today. 21 22 MR. ANDES: And we'll provide 23 responses. 24 MS. MEYERS: We appreciate that. So

Page 64

the other question that I had was in the MWRD's responses to some of the questions regarding green infrastructure they point to the comprehensive land use policy that was produced subsequent to the Green Infrastructure Program Plan and, in all candor, Openlands was a part in reviewing that and provided comments to the MWRD Board on that plan as did a lot of our other brethren and we appreciated the opportunity to do that.

2.

Framing this question within that plan as mentioned by MWRD rightfully so, the -- the land use policy puts forward how leases by MWRD are to include green infrastructure, both installation and maintenance, and a follow-up question I had in you all raising that because that was a good point was how many leases have since resulted in installation and maintenance of green infrastructure solutions, what percentage as well as how much volume reduction that has contributed to our green infrastructure solution for the county?

And if you need to put that in writing since it's a response to the answers that you provided, that also would be great.

Page 65 1 MR. ANDES: We will do that. 2 MS. MEYERS: Does anyone have any 3 idea now? 4 MR. ANDES: I don't think any of our witnesses have access to that information at this 5 6 time. 7 MS. MEYERS: I'm just looking over to make sure that I've covered what I wanted to 8 cover so I don't intrude later on. In looking at 9 the feasibility of green infrastructure throughout 10 11 Cook County as a measurable portion of a CSO 12 solution, I was also wondering whether or not if 13 MWRD has conducted such planning or any planning to that regard whether or not they have looked at, 14 15 in particular, areas where municipalities are 16 having difficulty connecting to TARP whereas you 17 guys are providing this great solution if municipalities aren't having trouble being able to 18 19 connect to you all to fully be able to utilize 20 your CSO solution, have you looked at green infrastructure as a way in those hot spots, so to 21 22 speak, to be able to hold stormwater to basically 23 defray some of the pressure on the system for 24 CSO's?

	Page 66
1	MR. ANDES: I'll try to clarify.
2	You're saying you're talking about a community
3	that would have trouble connecting to TARP?
4	MS. MEYERS: Yes.
5	MR. ANDES: So not currently
6	connected, but it wants to and it can't?
7	MS. MEYERS: My understanding let
8	me ask this question.
9	Are some of the issues regarding
10	flooding, local flooding, because localities
11	haven't been able to size their infrastructure to
12	fully take advantage of what MWRD has built?
13	MR. FITZPATRICK: This is Kevin
14	Fitzpatrick. If I understand you, you're talking
15	about, like, maybe a community has a two-year
16	storm combined sewer that is coming to us?
17	MS. MEYERS: Yes.
18	MR. FITZPATRICK: And it's backing
19	them up because they because they really don't
20	have the capacity to get the flow to the TARP
21	system?
22	MS. MEYERS: Right.
23	MR. FITZPATRICK: I do know we are
24	working with communities through our stormwater

	Page 67
1	plan. Again, we don't have the proper people on
2	to talk much about that. It's really not related
3	to CSO's so much, though. It's really more
4	because they can't if they can't get it to us,
5	that means they can't get it out to the waterway
6	as well. But I guess we would have to get back
7	with we have to answer your question, yes,
8	we are looking at and helping out communities with
9	those issues.
10	MS. MEYERS: Would it be possible to
11	answer how much that is occurring and how to
12	the extent to which MWRD is doing that? I'm just
13	looking for metrics here. I'm trying to figure
14	out how much volume
15	MR. ANDES: After that
16	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: One
17	person.
18	MS. MEYERS: How much volume are we
19	able to produce?
20	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: People
21	MS. MEYERS: And how much volume we
22	know we've got.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: People,
24	one at a time and the non-speaker, please mute

Page 68 1 themselves and when it is time for you to speak, 2 you can unmute. Thank you. We're having a 3 difficult time. MR. ANDES: This is Mr. Andes. 4 Т 5 quess I'm not clear whether that's actually a CSO issue. And if the variance is talking about 6 7 reducing the likelihood of CSO's, I'm not sure -and particularly the District CSO's, I'm not sure 8 9 that that particular problem factors into whether the District is going to have CSO's or not and 10 11 Mr. Fitzpatrick can comment on that. 12 MR. FITZPATRICK: Sorry. Yes. 13 You're right, Fred. I don't see how that has 14 anything to do with the CSO issue, but it is 15 something we're working on separately and we'd be 16 happy to provide that information to Openlands or 17 whoever wanted it outside of us if that's the way it has to be done. 18 19 MS. MEYERS: Let me just look really 20 quickly here. Sorry. I need one moment here. think I'm good. 21 22 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All 23 right. Thank you, Ms. Meyers. 24 MS. MEYERS: Thank you.

	Page 69
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Before we
2	take a short break, I just wanted to see if anyone
3	out there in Webex-ville had questions of these
4	of these witnesses and just unmute yourself.
5	MS. TIPSORD: I'm not seeing
6	anybody.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
8	Let's take a short break, folks, and be back here
9	at, like, 10:45. Is that doable? Thank you. Off
10	the record.
11	(Whereupon, a break was taken
12	after which the following
13	proceedings were had.)
14	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
15	right. I have about 10:46. We're back on the
16	record.
17	And I believe the District's
18	witnesses are finished and I have, through the
19	Board, some questions for the IEPA witness and I
20	can read that now, but before I do I would like to
21	have Mr. Brickey swear in the IEPA witnesses.
22	MR. TWAIT: Can you hear me?
23	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
24	

	Page 70
1	
2	WHEREUPON:
3	SCOTT TWAIT
4	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
5	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
6	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
7	you. So here we go.
8	In response to Board's Question
9	1C concerning impact of climate change, IEPA
10	states that since TARP is complete, MWRD must
11	evaluate the CSO's and determine if they comply
12	with the U.S. EPA's CSO policy.
13	A, please clarify whether the
14	Agency is referring to the Calumet System
15	regarding the completion of TARP.
16	MR. TWAIT: Can you hear me?
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
18	MR. TWAIT: We were
19	MS. TIPSORD: Wait a minute. You
20	have to identify yourself for the record.
21	MR. TWAIT: Scott Twait from
22	Illinois EPA. We were talking about the entire
23	system and whether or not they're in compliance
24	with U.S. EPA's CSO policy.

Page 71 1 So when they get the Calumet 2 System done or the Stickney portion done, they will need to make a decision on -- on whether or 3 4 not they're compliant with the CSO policy. 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Rao, 6 any follow up? 7 Yes, I do. So, Mr. Twait, MR. RAO: should the District wait until the completion of 8 TARP until 2027 to do this evaluation or do you 9 expect them to do this for Calumet before that? 10 11 MR. TWAIT: I am not the CSO expert 12 so I don't know the answer to that, but I would 13 think they would do that as they complete the 14 individual groups, the individual systems. 15 MR. RAO: Do you think the District 16 would be in a better position to be able to answer this question as to when such an evaluation would 17 be done? 18 19 MR. TWAIT: Yes. 20 MR. ANDES: This is Mr. Andes and just to be clear parts of the system are not 21 22 entirely distinct. They run into each other and the District's compliance with the CSO policy will 23

need to be determined from the system as a whole.

24

Page 72 1 They are not independent parts where one part can 2. be in compliance with the CSO policy and another 3 would not. That is going to have to be evaluated 4 on a system-wide basis because that's also the way 5 it is laid out in the consent decree. 6 MR. RAO: Thank you. 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All 8 right. This is the second sub question B. B as 9 in boy. "Comment on whether the Agency 10 agrees with MWRD that the compliance evaluation of 11 12 the Calumet System should be done during the 13 proposed term of the TLWQS." IEPA, do you have 14 your --15 They're unmuted. MS. TIPSORD: 16 MR. TWAIT: Can you rephrase that 17 question because the District has done one portion 18 of their -- of their analysis? Can you rephrase 19 the question? 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Rao? 21 MR. RAO: I can try. Basically what 22 I was asking was in response the Board question 23 said they need more data and the five-year term of 24 the initial TLWQS will provide them data to do an

	Page 73
1	evaluation of what the current conditions are in
2	the Calumet System and I was just basically asking
3	the Agency whether they agree with the District
4	that the term of the TLWQS and the next five years
5	will allow the District to do a compliance
6	evaluation in the Calumet System.
7	MR. TWAIT: Yeah, the Agency doesn't
8	disagree with that process. We've talked with
9	U.S. EPA and they have some concerns about whether
10	it's needed or not and that's why we asked the
11	questions that we asked.
12	MR. RAO: All right.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
14	right. Here is the third sub question.
15	"C. Comment on whether the U.S.
16	EPA's CSO policy addresses impact of climate
17	change."
18	MR. TWAIT: I do not know the answer
19	to that.
20	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Rao,
21	any follow up?
22	MR. RAO: Yes, does the District
23	have any comments on the question?
24	MR. ANDES: Well, I don't think that

Page 74 1 the District, you know, can provide interpretation 2 of the CSO policy. I think as a general matter 3 what the District is doing under the consent decree to comply with the federal rule CSO 4 5 requirements is laid out there in the consent 6 decree and I'm not aware of, on a personal level, 7 any requirement specific to the EPA CSO requirements to specifically consider the impact 8 to climate change, but the way that the District 9 is complying with CSO requirements is through TARP 10 11 and specific TARP-related requirements laid out in 12 the consent decree. 13 MR. RAO: Okay. The question was 14 triggered by IEPA's response to the Board's 15 questions because they brought in the CSO policy in the response. So I was curious whether the 16 17 policy as a whole discusses climate change, but if there is any additional information, we'd 18 19 appreciate it if you can put it in writing. 20 MR. ANDES: Sure. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All 21 Question 4. Regarding Board's Question 6, 22 right. please comment on whether the communities listed 23 24 in the O'Brien and Stickney permits would be

	Page 75						
1	subject to potential enforcement action for						
2	violating the DO standards if they do not seek						
3	relief through individual TLWQS from the Board.						
4	MS. TIPSORD: Sorry.						
5	MR. TWAIT: I think						
6	MS. TIPSORD: Sorry. That was my						
7	fault. Start over, Scott. I screwed up.						
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can						
9	start over. Thank you.						
10	MR. TWAIT: Okay.						
11	MS. TIPSORD: Go ahead, Scott. We						
12	lost you again. Sorry.						
13	MR. TWAIT: I think they do have						
14	some liability issues if if they are causing or						
15	contributing to DO violations.						
16	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Rao?						
17	MR. RAO: Did you just say they may						
18	have liability issues? Is that the response?						
19	That's what I heard.						
20	MR. TWAIT: Yes.						
21	MR. RAO: But does the Agency have,						
22	you know, any plans on bringing these other						
23	communities under the TLWQS or it's up to them to						
24	decide?						

Page 76 1 MR. TWAIT: I think it's up to them 2 to decide. When this was originally proposed, we 3 had put a class so that others could join and no 4 one else did. No one else joined and so it went back to an individual. 5 6 MR. RAO: Okay. Thank you. 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All 8 right. The last question. 9 "Regarding Board's Question 10B, as in boy, please comment on whether MWRD's 10 11 responses to the Agency's questions help resolve 12 U.S. EPA's concerns regarding relief of the 13 Calumet System. 14 If so, elaborate for the record 15 how MWRD's responses help address U.S. EPA's 16 concerns. 17 Also, comment on whether the 18 Agency has discussed MWRD's responses with the U.S. EPA. " Sorry. That was a compound question, 19 20 but if you can answer, please do. MR. TWAIT: We haven't -- we haven't 21 discussed with U.S. EPA their responses. We will 22 23 need to do that. We do think that they may have 24 answered our -- asked -- they have responded to

Page 77 1 our questions and we do think that helps the 2 record, specifically on the temporary use of the 3 Thornton -- Thornton Reservoir and losing the 3.1 4 billion gallons, but we'll open up discussions 5 with U.S. EPA. 6 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 7 follow up, Mr. Rao? MR. RAO: I think not, but just let 8 9 me look at something before. Mr. Twait, in the Agency's 10 11 recommendation, you had indicated that, you know, 12 one of the factors for granting the TLWQS, the 13 widespread economic impact, that the District had not made -- you know, to justify the proposed 14 15 petition based on that factor. 16 Has the Agency changed its mind 17 based on MWRD's responses or are you still recommending that it be considered based on the 18 19 factor of human cause conditions? 20 MR. TWAIT: We believe it should be based on human cause conditions. We don't think 21 22 that they have met criteria for the economics 23 because they didn't break it down per user and 24 show that it was not affordable, but we believe

	Page 78
1	they have made the met the burden of proof for
2	the human cause conditions.
3	MR. RAO: Thank you. That's all I
4	have.
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
6	right. Thank you, Mr. Rao.
7	And to keep it consistent,
8	Mr. Ettinger, any questions of Mr. Twait?
9	Mr. Ettinger?
10	MR. ETTINGER: Yes. I'm sorry. I
11	will come back on here again. I was trying to
12	save every bandwidth here. I I was just going
13	to ask the Agency if you know of any way that we
14	would be able to sort out whether dissolved oxygen
15	violation during a wet weather event was caused by
16	an MWRD CSO that would be protected by this
17	variance from liability or somebody else's CSO
18	that would not be?
19	MR. TWAIT: I think that would be
20	very tough to to pin it completely on one
21	person. However, the cause and contribute if you
22	can show that they're contributing to the DO
23	violation, I believe that they would be you can
24	make the demonstration that they were the cause or

Page 79 1 contributing to it, to the violation. 2 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. So if we had 3 one of these situations where they had to close 4 part of the system and it resulted in CSO's by 5 both the MWRD and another entity and as a result there was a violation of the DO standard, we can 6 7 go after the entity -- other entity as contributing even though we might not be able to 8 sort how much each did? 9 That's possible, yes. 10 MR. TWAIT: 11 MR. ETTINGER: Thank you. That's 12 all I had, Mr. Halloran. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 14 you, Mr. Ettinger. Ms. Meyers? 15 MS. MEYERS: Just a brief follow up 16 on climate change. I did notice the Agency had 17 seen the U.S. EPA study from 2008 regarding a screening assessment of potential impacts of 18 19 climate change on combined sewer overflow 20 mitigation in the Great Lakes/New England regions and, if so, whether or not that would potentially 21 answer the question as to whether or not U.S. EPA 22 23 considers climate change in looking at CSO 24 mitigation solutions.

Page 80 1 MR. TWAIT: I have not seen that. 2 MS. MEYERS: If that is something 3 that the U.S. EPA evaluated through this report and through its policy, should whatever modeling 4 5 that was used that you are considering as far as a 6 variance then include climate change as a factor 7 in determining the volume that we should be looking for to curb CSO's? 8 9 MR. TWAIT: I think that question is for determining the CSO policy and not necessarily 10 11 the Time-Limited Water Quality Standard because 12 we're not -- we're not adding additional storage 13 at this time for the Time-Limited Water Quality Standard. 14 15 MS. MEYERS: If we're looking for 16 how much storage is necessary over the duration of 17 the variance and the policy includes consideration 18 of climate change as part of any kind of 19 mitigation, should that then be factored in when 20 evaluating what measures should be taken? MR. ANDES: I'm going to object. 21 I'm going to object to that question before it 22 23 gets responded to because we don't agree that the 24 CSO policy or the TLWQS process requires

	Page 81						
1	consideration of climate change. There are some						
2	conditions present in that question that we don't						
3	agree.						
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.						
5	Objection noted, but overruled.						
6	MR. TWAIT: I'm not sure it's in the						
7	policy. So I don't know.						
8	MS. MEYERS: If it is in the policy,						
9	should it be considered over the next five years						
10	in mitigation?						
11	MR. TWAIT: Yeah, I don't know						
12	what						
13	MR. ANDES: The CSO policy it						
14	seems						
15	MR. TWAIT: I don't know what they						
16	have looked at. I don't know what the CSO policy						
17	requires facilities to look at.						
18	MS. MEYERS: Thank you.						
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank						
20	you. Any questions of this witness from the Webex						
21	people? Just unmute yourself if you have a						
22	question, please.						
23	MR. ANDES: No questions from the						
24	District.						

	Page 82
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Anyone on
2	Webex who would like to give a public statement or
3	public comment now is the time to do it and please
4	unmute yourself.
5	MS. TIPSORD: I'm not seeing anyone.
6	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I
7	don't see any parties wishing or citizens wishing
8	to give public statement or public comment.
9	You know, I I think that's it
10	other than to quickly discuss the post-hearing
11	briefing schedule unless anybody else has any
12	thoughts.
13	I'm thinking like we did in the
14	chlorides TL's post-hearing briefs simultaneous
15	post-hearing briefs due September 30th,
16	simultaneous response due November 13th and public
17	comment due September 4th.
18	Mr. Andes, does that sound
19	acceptable?
20	MR. ANDES: Yes, you said public
21	comment due September 4th, then post September
22	30th and reply briefs due November 13th, correct?
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Correct.
24	MR. ANDES: All right. No, that's

	Page 83
1	fine with the District.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.
3	Diers?
4	MS. DIERS: That's fine with the
5	Agency.
6	MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. I'm a
7	little confused. What is the difference between
8	post-hearing briefs and a public comment?
9	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: A
10	public a member of the public can file their
11	comment with the Board.
12	MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Well, I guess
13	I'm not sure whether the Sierra Club is public
14	here or whether we're somebody that should file a
15	brief I guess is my question.
16	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, I
17	have you down as interested party. I assume if
18	you want to file a brief as an interested party
19	the Board will accept it.
20	MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I just I
21	actually am not sure I want to file anything. I
22	just wanted to know whether I was public or brief.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well,
24	you're not a party. Well, you're an interested

		Page	84
1	party. So you're not either the Agency or the		
2	MWRD, but file it, if you wish, and I'm sure the		
3	Board will take it under consideration.		
4	MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Thank you.		
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank		
6	you, Mr. Ettinger.		
7	I will issue an order shortly		
8	setting these post-hearing briefing schedules and		
9	public comment dates and I do want to thank you		
10	all for making my job easier.		
11	This is my first time at this		
12	thing and I especially want to thank my general		
13	counsel Marie Tipsord. She was the she is the		
14	navigator and she controls all things. So thank		
15	you, Ms. Tipsord, and everybody out there stay		
16	safe and be well. Thank you so much.		
17	MS. DIERS: Thank you.		
18	MR. ANDES: Thank you.		
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're off		
20	the record.		
21			
22			
23			
24			

Page 85 1 BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD 2 3 I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in 4 5 shorthand the proceedings had at the trial 6 aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true, 7 complete and correct transcript of the proceedings 8 of said trial as appears from my stenographic 9 notes so taken and transcribed under my personal direction. 10 Witness my official signature in and for 11 12 Cook County, Illinois, on this _____ day of ____, A.D., 2020. 13 14 15 16 17 18 STEVEN BRICKEY, CSR, RMR 19 8 West Monroe Street Suite 2007 20 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Phone: (312) 419-9292 21 CSR No. 084-004675 22 23 24

				Page 86
	1.0 2.7 11	14.5 12 10 20	20.10.40.0.15	15.7
A	agency 1:8 2:7,11	14:5,13,18,20	39:19 48:8,15	15:7
A.D 85:13	4:9,11 56:24	15:5 16:6,21	54:24 69:6	attainment 37:6
a.m 1:13	59:12 63:19	17:6 20:6,9,10	82:11	August 1:13 4:4
able 6:20 19:11	70:14 72:10	20:20 21:4	anyway 4:3	available 5:17
45:12 46:19	73:3,7 75:21	22:12 25:1,18	apologize 24:11	8:19 9:11,15
47:1 65:18,19,22	76:18 77:16	26:10,14 28:19	appear 23:19	29:9 34:22 40:6
66:11 67:19	78:13 79:16	30:7,12 31:7	Appeared 2:11,16	55:11
71:16 78:14	83:5 84:1	35:3 36:5 37:19	appears 13:13	Avenue 2:8
79:8	Agency's 37:12	41:13 42:19	14:13 31:8 85:8	avoid 5:22
accept 83:19	76:11 77:10	43:11,18 44:19	appendices 15:8	aware 24:12 45:1
acceptable 82:19	aggregate 39:16	46:1,9 47:15	applicable 17:11	46:13 74:6
access 65:5	aggregates 39:18	49:4 50:20	17:18 20:1,18,23	B
accurate 6:20	39:20	51:20,23 52:14	apply 48:17	
43:11 59:8	ago 24:17	55:10 56:2 58:3	appreciate 63:16	B 3:1 12:21 19:20
achieve 20:4 21:2	agree 17:22 73:3	60:5 62:17 63:7	63:24 74:19	72:8,8
Act 7:17	80:23 81:3	63:22 65:1,4	appreciated 64:9	back 15:20 31:13
action 29:19 75:1	agreed 33:23	66:1,5 67:15	approaching 60:7	31:15,18 32:7
activated 52:10	agreeing 18:10	68:4,4 71:20,20	appropriately	35:17,17,20 36:1
active 58:20	agrees 72:11	73:24 74:20	9:20	39:17 40:9 46:2
adaptive 58:23,24	ahead 7:18 10:19	80:21 81:13,23	area 7:9 34:1	46:10 47:6 56:4
add 21:21 25:19	23:21 34:9	82:18,20,24	46:24 48:22	67:6 69:8,15
26:10,13 37:20	75:11	84:18	areas 65:15	76:5 78:11
58:4	Albert 10:15	announce 4:14	arguing 27:21	background 7:4
adding 80:12	24:10 36:8	annual 15:10,11	arrangements	backing 66:18
addition 5:14 61:3	allow 7:20 73:5	15:15,19,21 16:1	40:8	bandwidth 78:12
additional 15:13	allowing 5:15	answer 9:23 12:17	asked 9:21 41:17	banging 30:13
16:3 22:7 29:1	alphabetically	13:17 17:7 21:6	56:24 73:10,11	Barbara 2:2 4:16
30:6 74:18	23:11	25:2 27:3 39:3	76:24	BARNES 2:12
80:12	alter 28:6	41:19 43:18,19	asking 13:4 54:21	based 16:13 25:7
address 28:23	ambient 21:24	43:22 45:12	72:22 73:2	25:24 28:2,7
42:19 47:17,23	amended 7:5,15	46:9 50:21	assessed 29:7,11	29:4 51:15
48:6,11 60:22	38:12	52:17 53:7	assessment 79:18	77:15,17,18,21
76:15	amount 24:20	62:17,23 63:14	assigned 4:5	basement 41:8
addresses 73:16	25:7 39:21	67:7,11 71:12,16	assume 41:6 83:17	basic 53:3
adjacent 32:12	41:21	73:18 76:20	assuming 54:13	basically 14:21
adjustments 9:5	analysis 21:8	79:22	assumptions	37:21 38:9
admitted 8:15,17	46:15 72:18	answered 76:24	55:13,18	65:22 72:21
advantage 66:12	Anand 2:3 4:20	answering 34:14	attached 15:15,24	73:2
Advisory 61:18	14:16	answers 9:16	attachment 12:24	basis 72:4
aeration 19:24	ANASTASIA 2:5	34:11 36:5 57:7	13:3,6,8,10,12	bear 5:3
20:17,22 26:18	and/or 55:12	57:15 63:5,19	13:12,17 15:8,8	bedroom 24:14
26:23	Andes 2:13,20 9:7	64:23	15:19,22	behalf 2:11,16 9:8
affect 19:5 29:9	9:12,14 10:18,23	anticipate 43:8	Attachment's	believe 12:12 21:7
affordable 77:24	11:8,19,22 12:7	anticipating 36:4	12:21	37:10 38:14
aforesaid 85:6	12:9,13 13:2,11	anybody 30:14	attachments 13:7	44:8 46:23
<u> </u>	=	=	-	=

				1490 07
55:16 69:17	83:18,22	44:2,9 66:20	changing 26:17	62:11
77:20,24 78:23	briefing 82:11	captioned 4:7	chapter 15:9,11	combine 32:14
believes 58:13	84:8	capture 58:2 60:3	15:21,24 24:11	combined 7:8
best 12:16,17	briefly 30:15	61:19	check 56:4 61:24	19:10 27:7 28:2
30:15 61:14	briefs 82:14,15,22	captured 15:17	Chicago 1:5,13	28:8 32:11,19
better 24:18 71:16	83:8	41:24	2:14 4:8 7:9	33:2,5,7 34:22
beyond 28:11	bring 46:10 63:20	care 37:16 44:2	36:15 46:24	35:13 41:7
billion 34:21	bring 40.10 03.20 bringing 75:22	Carter 2:4 4:15	85:20	43:16 54:17
35:13,15 39:4	broken 53:13	23:12	Chief 4:20	60:7 66:16
42:12,15 57:22	brought 30:1	case 4:11 19:7	chlorides 82:14	79:19
62:6 77:4	74:15	cause 1:11 21:10	choose 8:19	come 78:11
bit 39:4 51:21	Brown 2:4 4:21	37:5 43:3 77:19	circumstance	comes 5:13 57:10
52:15	buffer 43:6	77:21 78:2,21,24		coming 28:13
blank 32:4	build 25:16 62:11	caused 42:3 44:16	cities 62:3	30:18 66:16
Board 1:1,12 4:14	building 25:6	44:23 45:21,23	citizens 82:7	commence 8:20
5:4,10 6:21 7:2	built 32:18 66:12	46:6 49:9 78:15	City 62:4	commencing 1:13
7:14,17,17,19	burden 78:1	causes 46:3	clarification 18:24	comment 8:7 9:5
8:13,13,23 9:2	business 40:15	causing 29:13	clarify 59:9 66:1	50:13 53:5
13:4 22:14	businessmen	56:9 75:14	70:13	68:11 72:10
23:23 24:4	40:19	CAWS 37:7	class 76:3	73:15 74:23
36:13,16,24 50:1	buying 47:4	certain 27:6 52:1	Clean 62:5	76:10,17 82:3,8
64:7 69:19	buying 47.4	52:19	cleaner 19:8	82:17,21 83:8,11
72:22 75:3	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	certainly 19:7	clear 5:5,24 13:4	84:9
83:11,19 84:3	C 2:1 12:22,24,24	20:14 29:17,17	17:8 38:11,18,22	comments 7:22
85:1	13:3,10,10,12,12	38:13 56:4	68:5 71:21	37:14 59:4,6
Board's 5:2,6,19	13:13,17 73:15	62:18	clearer 6:5	64:7 73:23
12:19 16:10	calculating 61:1	Certified 85:3	clearly 5:22	committed 48:19
43:14 70:8	call 6:2 31:20	certify 85:4	clearly 5.22 clerk's 5:18	58:10
74:14,22 76:9	call-in 6:12	cetera 29:2	click 6:10 13:22	Committee's
borrowing 35:15	called 1:11 11:23	Chair 4:17 23:12	clicking 30:13	61:19
bothered 49:2	70:4	Chairman 23:16	climate 70:9 73:16	communicating
bottom 28:19	Calumet 7:13	Chairwoman 2:2	74:9,17 79:16,19	\sim
Box 2:8	19:3,8,23 20:16	chance 19:10	79:23 80:6,18	communities
boy 12:21 72:9	22:1,17 26:3	26:20 57:1	81:1	66:24 67:8
•	27:13 37:7			74:23 75:23
76:10 Brodley 1:11 2:2	38:21 51:5	change 25:16 39:1 43:2 70:9 73:17	close 51:7,10	
Bradley 1:11 2:2 4:3	52:10 53:14		52:16,21 53:23	community 49:7
	57:2 70:14 71:1	74:9,17 79:16,19	54:3,10 79:3	49:10,15 66:2,15
break 69:2,8,11	71:10 72:12	79:23 80:6,18 81:1	closed 50:13,15	company 33:8
77:23	73:2,6 76:13	= :	51:17,24 52:2	39:16
BRENDA 2:4	candor 64:6	changed 19:4 23:5	53:5,8,16,18	comparable 62:13
brethren 64:8	candor 04.0 capacity 22:19	24:16 25:15	closing 51:18 52:6	62:14
Brickey 1:12 4:2	29:8,9,22 33:2	27:22,23 28:4,17		compared 34:19
11:2,4 69:21	34:17 35:5 41:5	77:16	closure 53:10	41:11 55:24
85:3,18 briof 70:15 93:15	42:11,23 43:5	changes 28:12	Club 24:11 83:13	complete 5:6
brief 79:15 83:15	12.11,23 TJ.J	29:22	collaboration 57:4	70:10 71:13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

				rage 00	
85:7	59:20	57:17	CSO 7:9,10 19:14	current 15:12	
completed 38:5	conjunction 57:16	Conway 2:20 9:8	24:24 26:21	19:1,21 20:15	
completely 78:20	connect 65:19	9:22	28:11 29:13	73:1	
completion 36:3	connected 66:6	Cook 60:22 61:7	34:4 45:4,5,21	currently 66:5	
37:4 70:15 71:8	connecting 65:16	61:12 65:11	45:24 46:14,20	Currie 2:2 4:16	
	66:3	85:12	46:20 47:5,10	4:18 23:12,16	
compliance 7:16 20:4 21:2 22:9	consent 15:10	COOL 5:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.16 23.12,10	
			48:8,24 49:1,1		
70:23 71:23	25:22 39:5	correct 24:7,24	49:15 50:18	$\mathbf{D}_{12:22}$	
72:2,11 73:5	58:12 59:12	25:4,11,13 27:7	52:9 56:7 57:2	damage 39:21	
compliant 71:4	60:1,2,8 72:5	28:3,4 35:21	65:11,20 68:5,14	40:21,22 41:1	
comply 19:24	74:3,5,12	39:12 41:13	70:12,24 71:4,11	42:3	
20:18,22 70:11	Conservation	44:18,21 45:4	71:23 72:2		
74:4	32:7	46:8 54:2 60:5	73:16 74:2,4,7	data 16:13,18	
complying 74:10	consider 74:8	60:10,15 82:22	74:10,15 78:16	17:2,15 18:5,6	
composite 32:12	consideration	82:23 85:7	78:17 79:23	18:11 22:10,14	
33:3,13,14,17	80:17 81:1 84:3	cost 39:19 40:11	80:10,24 81:13	29:1,3 51:24	
42:13	considered 77:18	costed 41:10	81:16	72:23,24	
compound 76:19	81:9	costly 26:19	CSO's 21:10	database 29:5	
comprehensive	considering 62:2	coughing 4:13	22:17,21,22,24	dates 84:9	
62:7 64:3	80:5	counsel 2:3 56:19	24:19 25:7,9	day 1:13 27:10	
computer 5:16	considers 79:23	84:13	26:1,2,4 27:13	40:10 85:12	
6:10 13:23	consistent 58:6	counted 12:2	28:21 29:10,17	decide 12:16	
conceived 32:6	78:7	country 58:17	33:13 36:3,7,11	48:24 75:24	
34:19 35:14	continue 21:16,24	county 60:22 61:8	37:5,17,23,24	76:2	
concept 21:11,14	40:8 58:14	61:13,21 64:21	38:6,7,13,15,23	decided 48:16	
concerning 13:17	59:14	65:11 85:12	39:2,21 40:21,23	decision 5:6,7	
70:9	continuing 44:14	couple 24:2	41:2,20,24 42:7	71:3	
concerns 73:9	continuous 22:2	course 29:1,19	42:7,21 43:3,4	decreased 29:18	
76:12,16	contribute 58:1	48:5	43:15 44:3,3,4,5	decree 15:10,24	
conclude 56:11	62:15 78:21	court 5:12,21 6:20	44:6,16,18,23,24	25:22 39:5	
conditions 19:1	contributed 64:20	12:3 24:9 69:23	45:6,18 46:3,23	58:12,21 59:12	
19:22 20:15	contributing	cover 65:9	47:2,18 49:21	60:1,2,8 72:5	
51:16 52:1,7,15	75:15 78:22	covered 7:11	50:23 52:23	74:4,6,12	
52:20 73:1	79:1,8	54:12 56:9,10	53:19,24 54:1,1	defer 17:19 21:20	
77:19,21 78:2	contribution	65:8	54:5,11,11 57:10	59:3	
81:2	47:21	covers 45:18	57:20,24 58:14	definitely 27:11	
conduct 19:21	contributions	COVID-19 5:14	58:19 59:11,15	33:24 39:17	
20:14	47:17,24 48:2,12	creek 32:23 33:14	60:15 61:3,22	defray 65:23	
conducted 62:7	49:21	34:3 35:2,16	62:9,16 65:24	delay 6:16	
65:13	control 1:1,12	34:3 35:2,10 criteria 37:7	67:3 68:7,8,10	demonstration	
	,			78:24	
conducting 7:20	32:9 36:16	77:22	70:11 79:4 80:8	depend 9:17	
conferencing 5:15	57:23 59:11	criticize 48:23	CSR 1:12 85:18	deposeth 11:24	
confused 53:2	85:1	cross-examinati	85:21	70:5	
83:7	controls 84:14	8:1,7,9	curb 80:8	Des 52:7,8 53:15	
confusion 57:6	conventional	CRS 37:8	curious 74:16	DCS 34.1,0 33.13	
i					

				1490 07
53:18,20,22,24	82:10	49:22 53:3 54:7	eliminates 58:19	23:22 24:3,8,10
54:4	discussed 25:20	57:4 58:13	Elimination 7:12	25:5,14 26:9
describes 15:12	37:8 76:18,22	67:12 74:3	else's 78:17	27:1,20 28:16
designed 35:6,21	discusses 74:17	dollar 41:21 42:3	employed 57:16	29:24 30:24
destroyed 7:3	discussion 39:23	Dolton 44:8	employees 4:19	31:10 32:3 34:6
detail 51:21	discussions 40:3	downstream	end-of-pipe 19:13	34:9,12 35:11,19
detailed 63:1	77:4	49:16	ends 33:20	35:24 36:12,19
details 15:1	dissolved 7:6 22:2	Dr 16:16,19 17:1	enforceability	36:22 39:15
detention 61:20	24:22 27:6 28:1	17:4	53:3	40:1,12,18,24
determine 19:23	28:7 44:14	drive 2:13 15:14	enforcement 75:1	41:3 42:5,16
20:16 21:13,15	45:20 47:7	dropped 31:20	engineering 14:3	43:7,12 44:1,12
28:24 29:12	78:14	duality 57:7	England 79:20	44:22 45:3,9,16
30:5,11 44:23	distinct 71:22	due 5:14 22:18	ensure 6:19 47:19	46:8,17 47:3
46:3,20 47:10,14	distinguish 46:6	82:15,16,17,21	entering 6:9	48:13 49:23
49:9,20 59:22	61:17	82:22	entire 21:8 53:15	50:2,4,9 51:1,6
61:8 70:11	District 1:4 2:16	DuFlow 17:24	70:22	51:14,22 52:24
determined 22:22	4:7 9:23 13:15	duly 11:23 70:4	entirely 38:15	53:17 54:10,15
30:16 40:20	14:22 17:8,10,13	duration 80:16	71:22	54:20,24 55:3,6
71:24	25:20 36:7,10,15	Dustin 2:18 11:21	entities 46:5 50:14	55:8,21 56:5,13
determining 80:7	37:23 38:6	12:4	50:24 53:6,8,21	78:8,9,10 79:2
80:10	43:17 46:4	dynamics 19:22	54:2,5	79:11,14 83:6,12
develop 5:5 60:21	47:17,19 48:1,6	20:15	entitled 1:11	83:20 84:4,6
developed 60:24	49:21 50:24		entity 45:23 46:21	evaluate 21:18
Diers 2:7 9:9 10:2	51:7 58:4,10,20	E	50:18 79:5,7,7	70:11
10:3,9,11,12	68:8,10 71:8,15	E 2:1,1 3:1 12:22	entry 6:9	evaluated 21:17
83:3,4 84:17	72:17 73:3,5,22	earlier 26:5	Environmental	22:24 57:1 72:3
difference 83:7	74:1,3,9 77:13	easier 84:10	1:7 2:7,11 4:9	80:3
different 25:6	81:24 83:1	East 2:8	4:20 7:16 56:24	evaluating 21:15
44:13 47:20	District's 15:9,12	economic 77:13	EPA 36:2 37:9,11	80:20
52:6 53:13	48:11 56:14	economics 77:22	37:14,22,22 38:2	evaluation 71:9
difficult 68:3	58:7 69:17	Ed 43:19 45:11,15	38:9,19 39:6	71:17 72:11
difficulty 65:16	71:23	52:14	70:22 73:9 74:7	73:1,6
direct 10:18,23	diversion 28:12	Edward 2:18	76:19,22 77:5	event 4:13 47:2
directed 7:18 8:21	diverted 33:5	11:21 12:4	79:17,22 80:3	49:6 78:15
9:1,20 12:15	doable 69:9	effect 22:21 41:5	EPA's 37:3 70:12	events 43:3
direction 85:10	docket 5:19	effective 57:19	70:24 73:16	everybody 84:15
dis- 44:14	docketed 4:11	efforts 61:14	76:12,15	everybody's 53:1
disagree 73:8	document 15:24	either 6:1 13:21	especially 84:12	exactly 51:11
discharge 7:11	36:16	84:1	Essence 2:4 4:21	54:23
45:7 49:17	documents 8:17	elaborate 76:14	estimate 55:22	examined 49:14
discharges 7:8	12:21 15:14	elaboration 17:20	estimates 50:15	example 14:24
29:13 48:8 57:2	dog 12:22	eliminate 36:7	51:17	excuse 6:13 9:8
discontinued	doing 22:6 27:21	38:15 58:16	et 29:2	22:21
17:17 18:1	32:4,8 46:15	59:17	Ettinger 10:15	exhibit 12:24
discuss 14:2 30:3	47:19 48:19	eliminated 36:11	23:3,10,11,15,21	13:10,12 57:15
			1	1

				rage 30
exhibits 38:11	feedback 36:21	53:6 60:20 73:4	Framing 64:10	getting 30:12
expect 63:13	feel 15:6	81:9	Fred 2:20 9:7	36:21 45:17
71:10	fewer 25:9	five-year 22:3	17:22 18:10	62:24
expectation 37:4	figure 24:5 27:22	23:1 29:6 33:20	59:9,16 62:3	GI 14:24 15:2
37:16	47:4 56:6 67:13	72:23	68:13	give 9:4,13 10:3
expected 26:5	figured 39:19	fixing 44:11	FREDRIC 2:13	10:11 30:19
36:2	file 83:10,14,18,21	flood 32:9 35:2	11:22	31:24 33:16
expensive 19:13	84:2	flooding 41:8	free 15:6	35:17 55:22
26:22	filed 7:5 36:17	57:20 60:23	frequently 26:21	82:2,8
expert 71:11	filing 50:11	66:10,10	26:24	given 5:11 20:5
explain 12:23	filter 44:15,23	floodwater 32:23	front 13:3 45:14	21:3 24:20,23
14:22 16:18	45:22 46:19	33:15 34:3	full 20:11 35:5	25:9 27:6 37:3
		35:16 35:16		
17:3 20:3 21:1	final 34:17 find 49:7,7		51:5 52:20,22	gives 27:9
30:4,5,15 37:8 45:16 51:21	/	flow 19:1,21 20:15	fully 20:5 21:3,6 32:20 59:2	giving 35:17
	findings 37:9	32:20 33:5,18		go 7:18 10:19
52:3	fine 61:16 83:1,4	34:3,4 48:20	63:16 65:19	13:11 23:21
explanation 15:3	finished 27:3	55:23 56:7	66:12	24:14 34:9 42:8
extent 44:17 48:5	69:18	66:20	fundamental	52:23 53:2,22
55:12,20 67:12	first 11:23 12:15	flows 56:7	43:13	56:4 70:7 75:11
extra 42:10,23	24:8 34:20	FLYNN 2:2	further 8:15 15:22	79:7
extremely 61:10	42:13 70:4	focus 46:17	17:20 59:4,6,15	goes 34:4
\mathbf{F}	84:11	folks 14:4 58:4	59:15 62:18	going 4:1 12:14
F-I-T-Z-P-A-T	Fitzpatrick 2:19	69:8	63:12	19:11 23:10
12:6	11:10,13,16,22	follow 14:17 18:15	future 21:10	25:8,24 27:18
facilities 81:17	12:6 17:7,20	18:18 19:18	22:17 29:19	29:24 30:6,16
fact 21:19 26:3	18:3,4,9 19:6	71:6 73:21 77:7	57:2	31:10 32:18,20
38:22 39:1	21:21 26:12,13	79:15	G	33:4 34:10,16
factor 77:15,19	26:15 30:7,9,22	follow-up 5:10	-	35:20 36:1,12
80:6	31:3,5,16,19,22	10:20 12:19	Gallagher 2:18	37:16 41:5,7
factored 80:19	32:5 34:8,10,21	16:10 19:20	9:18 11:10,13,18	42:7 48:14,17,18
	35:2,8,12,22	64:14	11:21 12:4	48:20 53:19,20
factors 28:5 68:9	37:20 39:3,10,11	_	gallons 14:24 15:3	53:22 54:1,1
77:12	39:12,22,22 40:2	63:18 69:12	15:18 34:22	56:11 68:10
factual 38:20	40:13,22 41:1,14	follows 8:11 11:24	35:13,16 39:4	72:3 78:12
fairly 13:7	41:15 42:9	70:5	42:12,15 57:22	80:21,22
far 41:20 54:7	43:21 44:5	foregoing 85:6	62:6 77:4	good 4:3 33:11
60:1 80:5	50:20,22 51:3,9	forget 12:7	gate 51:24 53:23	42:15 50:5,6,7
fault 75:7	59:7 60:11,16	form 15:18,23	gates 50:13,15	64:16 68:21
feasibility 65:10	61:4,5,23 66:13	forward 63:5,21	51:8,10,11,12,17	gotten 42:18
feasible 47:19	66:14,18,23	64:12	52:2,6,8,16,21	governed 5:1
59:22,23 61:21	68:11,12	forwarded 5:11	53:5,8 54:4,11	Grand 2:8
feasibly 62:9,10	five 22:11 27:14	found 7:15	general 2:3 20:18	granted 20:2,24
feature 6:2	27:17 33:9	four 11:12	74:2 84:12	54:13
federal 74:4	42:10,14 43:8,9	fourth 12:10	generally 20:1,23	granting 77:12
feed 6:9	50:14,16 51:2,18	FOX 2:5	Gentlemen 54:7	gray 57:17

				1496 71
great 54:7 64:24	49:24 50:7 54:6	70:6,17 71:5	72:13	individual 71:14
65:17 79:20	55:2 56:12,16	72:7,20 73:13,20	IEPA's 8:13,14	71:14 75:3 76:5
greater 1:5 4:8	67:16,20,23	74:21 75:8,16	74:14	individuals 6:8
36:15 43:4	68:22 69:1,7,14	76:7 77:6 78:5	Illinois 1:1,7,11	influence 29:2
green 13:1,13,18	70:6,17 71:5	79:13 81:4,19	1:12,13 2:7,9,11	influencing 28:10
14:2,14 15:12,16	72:7,20 73:13,20	82:1,6,23 83:2,9	2:14 4:9 24:10	information 12:20
48:18 56:23	74:21 75:8,16	83:16,23 84:5,19	56:23 70:22	12:24 14:22
57:3,8,9,13,15	76:7 77:6 78:5	held 1:10	85:1,12,20	15:23 16:3,16
57:24 58:11,12	79:12,13 81:4,19	Hello 31:16	immune 49:1	17:1 22:13
58:16,23 59:14	82:1,6,23 83:2,9	help 5:5,24 45:12	impact 70:9 73:16	49:16 52:16
59:17 60:3,13,19	83:16,23 84:5,19	59:15 76:11,15	74:8 77:13	55:11 61:24
61:2,12,20 62:4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	helpful 15:4 16:4		62:19 63:9,12
, ,	happened 31:23 33:6	59:24 61:22	impacting 28:15	,
62:6,8,10,15			impacts 29:7	65:5 68:16
63:7 64:2,5,13	happy 68:16	helping 67:8	79:18	74:18
64:18,20 65:10	hard 34:13	helps 48:9 77:1	impending 22:18	infrastructure
65:20	harder 27:2	high 61:10	implement 58:7	13:1,14,18 14:2
ground 39:20	hate 52:24	history 30:20	58:22 59:2	14:15 15:9,13,16
group 49:6	he'll 31:13	31:24 58:16	implementing	48:18 56:23
groups 71:14	head 23:17	hold 23:8 32:22	25:21,21,22	57:3,8,10,14,16
guarantee 38:15	hear 10:7,8,9 14:9	58:2 65:22	implying 38:5	57:18,24 58:9,11
42:21	14:18 18:21	hole 32:16 35:18	importance 57:8	58:12,17,24
guaranteed 36:10	31:20 35:9	40:9	important 42:17	59:14,17 60:4,14
37:24	39:24 69:22	holes 32:16	impression 42:18	60:19 61:2,12,21
guess 10:17,18	70:16	homework 59:22	53:7	62:6,9,10,15
24:12,15 25:14	heard 22:14 31:22	honesty 38:3 63:1	improve 59:13	63:7 64:3,5,13
34:2 47:3 56:21	36:23 75:19	hot 65:21	improvements	64:18,20 65:10
67:6 68:5 83:12	hearing 1:11 2:2	hour 1:13	26:22 27:12,15	65:21 66:11
83:15	4:1,17,23 5:1	human 37:5 77:19	include 64:13	infrastructures
guys 59:21 60:18	6:3,19 7:1,19,20	77:21 78:2	80:6	57:17
65:17	8:2,5,6,8,11,16	hundred 41:16,18	included 12:21	initial 9:19 22:10
	8:20 9:6 10:1,10	60:23 61:9	15:14,18 29:23	23:1 31:23
H	10:13 11:1 12:1	hydraulic 44:10	55:12	72:24
H 3:1	12:11 13:9 14:7	hypothetically	includes 80:17	initially 32:18
Halloran 1:11 2:2	14:11 16:8,24	41:4	including 4:20	initiating 60:20
4:1,4,17,23 7:1	18:7,14,17,22		8:22 9:1 15:7	Initiative 62:5
9:14 10:1,10,13	19:19 20:8,13,21	I	17:15 22:14	inputs 27:9
11:1 12:1,11	23:9,18,24 24:7	idea 32:14 33:12	29:7,22	insignificance
13:9 14:7,11	30:14,24 31:4,9	65:3	incorrect 38:3,4	57:9
16:8,24 18:7,14	31:12,21 34:24	Identification 3:2	increase 26:22	installation 64:14
18:17,22 19:17	35:10 36:18	identify 12:8 39:9	increased 41:7	64:17
19:19 20:8,13,21	39:8,13 49:24	70:20	independent 72:1	instance 25:10
23:3,9,18,24	50:7 54:6 55:2	IEPA 4:10 7:20	indicated 9:10	instituted 21:14
24:7 31:4,12,21	56:12,16 63:21	8:23,24 9:3 24:1	77:11	intended 5:5
34:24 35:10	67:16,20,23	47:9 50:10 53:4	indicates 58:18	intent 60:21
36:18 39:8,13	68:22 69:1,7,14	69:19,21 70:9	indication 42:14	interested 7:21
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	I

				Page 92
23:5 24:15 40:5	30:16 31:23	75:14,18 78:17	32:19 36:21	16:19 17:1,4
62:12 83:17,18	36:8,22 40:12,24	lies 59:20	45:17 59:21	member 4:14
83:24	41:4,21 42:2,13	likelihood 43:4	62:24 64:8	23:12 50:1
interpretation	44:13 45:3,11,20	48:8 68:7	02.24 04.0	83:10
74:1	47:8,9 52:11,23	limited 8:22 9:1	M	members 9:3
introduce 24:9	54:21 55:4	line 28:19 61:6	M-E-Y-E-R-S	mentioned 18:24
intrude 65:9	59:10 61:23	links 16:3	56:18	19:18 62:3
involves 48:3	62:4 63:13	list 13:13,18 14:14	main 56:21	64:11
issue 49:5,13 56:9	66:23 67:22	15:16	mainstream 53:15	merits 7:22
68:6,14 84:7	71:12 73:18	listed 43:23 74:23	54:4	met 77:22 78:1
issued 7:12 13:13	74:1 75:22	little 7:3 24:18	maintenance	metrics 67:13
15:2	77:11,14 78:13	26:13 31:24	64:14,17	Metropolitan 1:4
issues 29:11 44:11	81:7,11,15,16	39:4 51:21	making 84:10	2:16 4:7 36:14
66:9 67:9 75:14	82:9 83:22	52:14 53:1 83:7	Management	Meyers 10:15
75:18	02.7 03.22	lobe 32:22 33:1	61:18	23:11 56:13,15
75.10	${}$ L	local 66:10	March 7:14	56:17,18 59:19
J	lack 41:4	localities 66:10	Margaret 2:20 9:7	60:6,13,17 61:16
job 54:7 84:10	laid 28:20 47:20	long 19:9 26:1,7	Marie 2:3 84:13	62:2 63:4,16,24
join 76:3	58:21 72:5 74:5	28:20	Marked 3:2	65:2,7 66:4,7,17
joined 50:2 76:4	74:11	long-term 33:24	Marquette 16:12	66:22 67:10,18
July 7:4 36:17	Lake 28:13	40:7 59:11	master 60:22 61:5	67:21 68:19,23
50:11	Lakes/New 79:20	longer 16:17 17:2	61:7,13	68:24 79:14,15
jump 31:13	land 40:16 64:4	17:16 29:5	Material 33:8,22	80:2,15 81:8,18
jurisdiction 57:5	64:12	32:19	35:18 40:3	Michigan 28:13
justify 77:14	lead 52:15	look 22:3,4 50:9	41:11	microphone 6:10
	learned 30:18	57:21 68:19	math 57:21	13:22
K	lease 33:20	77:9 81:17	matter 1:3 8:5	million 40:11
keep 33:18 51:7,9	leases 64:12,16	looked 40:7 52:18	74:2	41:11,15 60:3
52:5 78:7	leave 39:20		mean 26:16 27:8	Minarik 2:19 11:9
Kevin 2:19 11:21	leg 52:7,8,9	52:19 65:14,20 81:16	37:5 51:11	
12:5 18:3 19:6	legal 47:11 48:10	looking 11:10	means 15:2 67:5	11:13,15,22 12:9
26:12 39:10,12	legs 52:9,19,19	14:12 32:2	measurable 65:11	13:19,24 14:1
39:22 40:14	let's 46:17 47:4	36:23 44:17	measure 54:24	17:7,19,21,21
41:15 43:19,21	48:15 49:23	59:13,19 61:19	measurement	21:6,20,23,23
59:7 60:11 61:4	50:9 51:21	65:7,9 67:8,13	55:17	25:1,3,12,19 26:10 27:8 28:9
66:13	53:17,18 69:8	79:23 80:8,15	measures 20:4	28:18 44:20,20
key 58:7	letter 37:11,22	19.23 80.8,13 looks 31:14	21:2,13,19 22:9	,
keyboard 6:13	38:2,9,19	lose 31:5	25:20 47:20	45:1,5,11 46:2
keypad 6:13	level 24:23,24		48:5 57:1,16,18	46:11,12,13,22 46:22 54:18,19
kind 14:22 28:6	25:6 27:7 28:2,7	losing 77:3 loss 22:18 29:7	58:7,8,11,17,21	54:23
33:12 43:13	28:8 49:18		58:22 80:20	mind 5:3 52:5
46:15 80:18	58:14 74:6	30:2,6,10,11 41:10	meet 19:12	mind 5:3 52:5 57:12 77:16
kinks 33:17	levels 24:22 27:6	lost 31:2 34:24	meeting 6:23 50:2	
know 5:9 9:22	28:2 58:8		Melching 27:5	mindful 6:17
23:4,20 27:11,11	liability 48:10,11	35:3 75:12	Melching's 16:16	mine 34:1 40:8
27:18,18 28:11	1140111ty 10.10,11	lot 27:18 30:12	1.101cining 5 10.10	mined 32:20 33:2
,			l	

	16 10 17 2	20.7.40.2.50.15	22.21	75 10 76 6 70 2
mining 33:8,10	16:18 17:3	38:7 49:2 58:15	22:21	75:10 76:6 79:2
40:16	19:20 20:14	63:14	occurring 22:20	81:4 82:6 83:12
minute 70:19	24:1,4 32:9,13	new 18:1 22:15	22:23 26:4	83:20 84:4
missed 4:18	37:8,13 44:3,7	30:21	28:21 67:11	older 18:5
misunderstandi	45:18,21,24	newer 18:6	office 5:18	once 6:21 7:2 9:21
38:10,20	46:20 48:19	noise 45:17	Officer 1:11 2:2	38:5
mitigation 79:20	49:1 50:13,19	non-speaker 55:3	4:1,17,23 7:1	ones 43:23 45:7
79:24 80:19	51:16 53:5,19,19	67:24	10:1,10,13 11:1	online 5:18 26:20
81:10	54:2,5 56:22,24	non-speakers 54:8	12:1,11 13:9	30:17 32:21
model 16:12,13,17	57:3,14,20 60:7	normally 6:5	14:7,11 16:8,24	33:7 42:1
17:2,9,14,16,23	62:12,13 63:6	north 2:8,13 33:1	18:7,14,17,22	open 52:11 53:14
17:24 18:2,4,10	64:7,11,13 65:13	40:11 41:16	19:19 20:8,13,21	77:4
19:1,5 22:7 23:5	66:12 67:12	note 6:15 9:6	23:9,18,24 24:7	opening 8:18 9:13
24:17,19 25:11	70:10 72:11	10:14	31:4,12,21 34:24	10:3,11
27:5,9,11,17,24	78:16 79:5 84:2	noted 81:5	35:10 36:18	Openlands 56:19
28:1	MWRD's 7:15	notes 85:9	39:8,13 49:24	64:6 68:16
modeling 19:21	37:10 45:10	noteworthy 42:22	50:7 54:6 55:2	operating 48:7
20:14 25:17	64:1 76:10,15,18	notice 8:10 79:16	56:12,16 67:16	51:16 52:1,15
55:13 80:4	77:17	noticed 5:2	67:20,23 68:22	operational 20:5
moment 68:20	N	notified 40:4	69:1,7,14 70:6	21:3
monitor 45:6 47:1	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ 2:1	notion 24:16	70:17 71:5 72:7	opportunities
monitored 45:8	name 4:3 56:18	November 82:16	72:20 73:13,20	62:21 63:2
45:10	National 7:11	82:22	74:21 75:8,16	opportunity 33:7
monitoring 22:1,2	nature 29:16	number 5:19	76:7 77:6 78:5	33:16 64:9
Monroe 85:19	nature 29.10 navigator 84:14	12:18 14:24	79:13 81:4,19	opposed 18:12
monthly 21:24	necessarily 58:19	26:1,4 29:10	82:1,6,23 83:2,9	32:16 56:7
morning 4:3 50:6	58:24 80:10	numbered 37:2	83:16,23 84:5,19	oral 7:24 8:7
50:8 56:22	necessary 21:20	50:12	official 85:11	order 34:2 59:22
move 23:14	22:23 80:16	numbers 56:3	offline 30:18 33:5	62:14 84:7
moving 16:9	need 10:21 16:11	59:20,24 62:15	okay 10:13 12:11	Ordinance 61:18
multiple 62:21	17:10,18 21:13	0	13:11,12,20	organize 23:4
municipalities	25:23 27:14	O'Brien 7:12	14:12 15:5 16:2	originally 32:6
51:12 65:15,18	33:10 34:1	74:24	16:5,8 18:23	76:2
municipality	38:16 39:7 43:9	O-P-E-N-L-A	19:16 21:4 24:3	outcome 19:5
48:14,15 51:8	57:22,23 58:2	56:20	24:8 26:9 27:1	outdated 16:17
mute 4:12 36:20	64:22 68:20	oath 8:1	27:20 29:24	17:1,9
54:8 55:4,8	71:3,24 72:23	object 8:16 80:21	31:20,22 32:5	outfall 46:14
67:24	76:23	80:22	35:12 36:22	outfalls 7:9,10
muted 6:9 10:7	needed 9:5 26:3,8	Objection 81:5	39:15 40:2,18	output 27:10
13:21 18:16,18	26:19,23 33:2,13	obviously 43:1	42:16 43:12	outside 47:12
20:7,9 31:5,6,7	38:24 43:7 44:2	occur 19:14 21:16	44:1 45:3 46:17	57:21 68:17
MWR 50:15	54:9 55:5 73:10	occurred 24:13	48:13 50:4	overflow 7:8 27:7
MWRD 4:8 7:5,7	Neither 59:8	27:12	53:17 54:10,15	28:8 34:23
7:10,20 9:8,9	never 32:10 37:24	occurrence 22:17	55:2,21 59:19	35:14 79:19
12:15,19 16:11	116 (61 52.10 57.24	occurrence 22.1/	69:7 74:13	overflows 19:10
-				

28:3 32:11 41:8	party 83:17,18,24	20:14 21:7 22:6	posed 5:4	prevents 37:6
43:16 54:17	84:1	57:14,21 58:5,9	posited 63:19	previous 18:11
56:1 60:8	patterns 43:2	59:3,11 60:19,22	position 71:16	56:3
overruled 81:5	PCB 1:6 4:11 5:20	60:24 62:8 64:5	possibility 26:6	previously 55:14
owned 43:16	people 27:2 61:6	64:7,11 67:1	29:20	62:20
owner 40:3	67:1,20,23 81:21	planned 35:6	possible 17:16,24	privileged 4:14
oxygen 7:6 22:2	percent 41:24	planning 61:5,13	21:9 28:18	probably 53:14
24:22 27:6 28:1	42:2	65:13,13	47:17,21 48:1,12	problem 29:16
28:7 44:14	percentage 55:23	plans 22:6 61:7	56:8 59:16	45:17 49:5,9
45:20 47:7	64:18	75:22	67:10 79:10	63:17 68:9
78:14	period 21:15,18	plants 7:13	possibly 11:9	procedural 5:2
70.14	22:4,11 29:6,12	please 5:3,22 6:3	post 82:21	proceed 8:11
P	29:21,23 31:11	11:5 12:23	post-hearing	12:14 50:3
P 2:1,1	38:8 42:6 43:3	16:18 17:3 18:8	82:10,14,15 83:8	56:16
page 11:11 60:19	permanent 22:18	20:3,6 21:1	84:8	proceeding 4:5,6
pages 50:11	permit 14:24 15:1	23:21 36:20	potential 11:4	proceedings 1:10
paginated 36:17	permit 14.24 13.1 permits 7:12	37:8 39:9 50:12	22:16 48:11	69:13 85:5,7
Palivos 2:5 50:1,6	13:13,18 14:14	51:22 53:5 54:8	49:21 75:1	proceeds 9:6
papers 28:20	15:16 74:24	56:15 67:24	79:18	process 63:10,11
part 16:15 19:17	person 5:23 14:2	70:13 74:23	potentially 79:21	63:15 73:8
25:20 27:5	67:17 78:21	76:10,20 81:22	practicable 59:18	80:24
38:17,24 41:6	personal 74:6	82:3	practical 46:16	produce 5:24 6:5
47:5,8 59:2 60:6	85:9	PO 2:8	47:1	67:19
60:8,9,14 61:13	persons 8:2	point 19:11,15	pre-filed 5:17	produced 60:18
63:8 64:6 72:1	petition 7:5,15	22:22 26:17	9:19	64:4
79:4 80:18	8:12 16:20 17:5	29:13 32:13	precipitation 29:2	program 15:13
partially 42:19	38:12 58:6	43:6 48:23	43:2	24:5 57:14 58:5
participant 8:4	77:15	52:22 59:20	precisely 25:16	58:19 62:7 63:8
participants 7:21	petitioner 1:6 4:8	63:11,12 64:3,16	predict 27:6 28:1	64:5
7:23	8:20,23 9:2	pointed 57:3	predicted 24:19	project 15:17
participating 6:1	Philadelphia's	pointing 17:12	24:22	projecting 57:23
6:12	62:4	policy 64:4,12	predicting 29:18	projects 13:14,19
participation 5:16	Phoenix 44:8	70:12,24 71:4,23	prediction 28:6	14:3,15
particular 9:16	phone 5:16 6:2,4	72:2 73:16 74:2	preliminarily	promised 58:15
13:6,8 15:15	6:5 13:22 85:20	74:15,17 80:4,10		proof 49:18,18
36:9 49:14 53:8	piece 51:24	80:17,24 81:7,8	presence 55:14	78:1
65:15 68:9	pilot 60:20	81:13,16	present 2:17 4:15	proper 67:1
particularly 19:22	pin 78:20	Pollutant 7:11	4:18 7:22 8:24	properly 5:3
20:16 22:18	pipes 44:7 53:20	pollution 1:1,11	81:2	property 40:5
68:8	53:21	36:16 37:6	presentation 41:6	proposal 5:7
parties 8:19 9:10	place 34:20	54:16 85:1	presented 12:24	proposed 72:13
47:24 82:7	Plaines 52:7,8	portion 37:7	press 6:12	76:2 77:14
partnered 32:9	53:15,18,20,22	53:11 65:11	pressure 65:23	protect 61:9
parts 16:9 71:21	53:13,16,26,22	71:2 72:17	presumably 25:5	protected 78:16
72:1	plan 15:13 19:20	pose 56:22 57:11	pretty 62:7	Protection 1:8 2:7
	P.M. 15.15 17.20	P 550 5 5 5 5 7 . 1 1	P1000j 02./	110000000111.0 2.7
	l	l	l	l

				Page 95
			<u> </u>	1
2:11 4:9 7:17	50:10 53:4,7	73:20,22 74:13	reducing 68:7	reports 12:20 56:3
56:24	62:19 64:1,10,15	75:16,17,21 76:6	reduction 58:8	represented 39:6
provide 15:22	66:8 67:7 70:8	77:7,8 78:3,6	64:19	representing
16:2 39:7 61:2	71:17 72:8,17,19	rare 19:14	reductions 58:1	24:10
62:18 63:12,22	72:22 73:14,23	rates 61:20	61:2	requests 7:10
68:16 72:24	74:13,22,22 76:8	re- 36:13	refer 4:10	required 19:24
74:1	76:9,19 79:22	re-do 21:7 27:17	referenced 37:11	20:17,22
provided 10:20	80:9,22 81:2,22	re-evaluated	referring 13:8	requirement
63:9,20 64:7,24	83:15	21:12	36:9 70:14	60:18 74:7
providing 52:17	questioning 8:3	read 5:12 8:15	refers 15:20	requirements
65:17	questions 5:3,8,10	10:19 69:20	reflect 5:7	19:12 74:5,8,10
public 7:18 8:7	8:12,14,21,24	reading 53:7	reflected 22:13	74:11
9:4 82:2,3,8,8	9:4,20 10:16,20	reads 16:15	38:3,20	requires 60:2
82:16,20 83:8,10	10:24 23:8,13,19	really 27:14,20	reflects 37:12	80:24 81:17
83:10,13,22 84:9	23:23 24:2,4	32:10 66:19	38:10	requiring 29:21
purchasing 40:5	34:14 43:14	67:2,3 68:19	regard 65:14	rerun 17:14,23
purpose 47:16	56:14,21 57:11	reason 17:23 18:5	regarding 8:16	18:5 19:1
purposes 60:9	62:20,22 63:1,3	receives 6:21 7:2	56:23 64:2 66:9	reservoir 22:19
pursue 59:14	63:5,10,14,18,20	Reclamation 1:4	70:15 74:22	29:8,22 30:2,6
pursuing 61:12	64:2 69:3,19	2:16 4:7 36:15	76:9,12 79:17	30:17,20,23 32:1
put 32:15 41:21	73:11 74:15	recommendation	regions 79:20	32:6,12,17,19,24
42:2 43:22 63:5	76:11 77:1 78:8	77:11	regulations 7:17	32:24 33:3,3,4,6
64:22 74:19	81:20,23	recommending	relate 13:1	33:7,10,13,14,16
76:3	quickly 68:20	77:18	related 67:2	33:18 34:1,4,18
puts 64:12	82:10	reconfirm 16:12	release 61:20	34:18 37:4 38:5
putting 19:12	quite 30:1	17:10,18	relevant 7:23 8:4	38:13 39:17
63:17		record 5:6 7:24	relief 75:3 76:12	42:8,13,17 44:10
	R	8:8 9:6 10:14	relocating 34:3	52:20,21 53:10
Q	R 2:1	50:1 51:7 56:18	relying 16:18 17:3	53:11,24 77:3
quality 4:6 7:7	rain 25:7	69:10,16 70:20	Remind 10:5	reservoirs 32:8,15
22:1 28:22	rainfall 24:20,23	76:14 77:2	reminder 5:17	57:19
29:14 47:18	44:18	84:20	repeat 16:21 18:8	residual 40:23
60:9 80:11,13	raise 63:3	recorded 6:24	20:11 60:12	41:20 42:1,6
quarry 32:22 40:3	raised 37:14 62:20	recording 6:19	rephrase 72:16,18	resolve 76:11
question 9:16,17	63:11,15	7:3	replies 8:13	respective 5:13
9:21,24 12:15,19	raising 64:15	records 51:9,16	reply 82:22	respond 17:8 21:5
13:17 16:9,11,15	ramp 13:6	recurring 26:6,7	report 1:10 15:10	61:15
16:22 19:17,20	Randolph 1:12	reduce 38:13	15:12,15,19,21	responded 37:14
20:11,12 22:15	Rao 2:3 4:20 5:11	47:21 48:1,7,20	16:1,4,16,19	76:24 80:23
25:2 31:23	10:20 14:18,21	49:22 57:1,19	17:1,4 26:18	respondent 1:9
34:11 36:13,24	16:2,7 18:14,16	58:8,14,20 59:15	37:9 39:1 80:3	4:10
37:15 39:4,16	18:18,21,23	60:14 61:3,22	reported 85:4	responding 63:4
41:19 42:20	19:16 22:8 23:2	62:9	reported 53.4 reporter 5:12,22	responding 03.4 response 12:18
43:13,20,23	71:5,7,15 72:6	reduced 27:14	6:20 12:4 24:9	16:10 17:13
45:19 46:1	72:20,21 73:12	62:5	69:23 85:4	36:13,24 37:2,9
.5.17 10.1		04.5	07.43 03.4	30.13,44 37.4,9
	<u> </u>		l	l

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 09/09/2020

August 4, 2020

				1490 70
37:10,20,21	22:6 24:17	seen 41:20 79:17	sir 10:2	12:8 39:9 49:1
38:11 42:20	25:11 71:22	80:1	situation 37:13	49:10,11,19
50:10 64:23	runoff 28:11	sell 40:15 41:17	38:4,10,21 50:17	65:22 68:1
70:8 72:22	54:19,21	selling 40:16	situations 50:23	speakerphone 6:4
74:14,16 75:18	34.17,21	senior 56:19	79:3	speaking 5:22
82:16	S	sense 27:16	size 66:11	36:20
responses 8:12,13	S 2:1 3:1	sense 27.10 separate 32:16	slight 6:16	specific 25:24
8:14 22:13,15	S-T-A-U-D-A	33:19	Soil 32:7	74:7,11
36:14 43:24	12:5	separately 68:15	solely 5:5	specifically 48:10
63:23 64:2	safe 84:16	September 82:15	solution 57:11	74:8 77:2
76:11,15,18,22	saith 11:24 70:5	82:17,21,21	60:14 64:20	specify 13:5
77:17	sake 5:21	Service 32:7 33:8	65:12,17,20	specify 13.3 spots 65:21
responsive 12:20	sampling 46:14	33:22 35:18	solutions 64:18	Springfield 2:9
rests 8:23 9:3	save 78:12	40:4	79:24	10:6,8
result 6:18 44:9	saying 17:9 19:3,9	Services 41:12	somebody 4:12,12	Stacy 10:15 56:18
79:5	22:8 27:4 37:22	setting 84:8	20:18 30:4	staff 4:19 5:4 13:5
resulted 64:17	41:2,3 47:16	sewage 28:5	47:13 48:22	standard 4:6 7:7
79:4	58:6 66:2	sewer 7:8 19:10	55:22 78:17	79:6 80:11,14
results 17:11,17	says 36:13 37:3	27:7 28:2,8	83:14	standards 20:1,23
18:13 19:2	41:12 50:12,15	32:11,19 34:22	soon 55:8	21:11 28:22
revalidate 16:12	51:4 57:15	35:14 41:7	sorry 4:18 16:22	29:14 47:18
17:11,19	60:19	43:16 54:17	20:10 23:22	48:22 75:2
review 51:15	schedule 7:18	60:7 66:16	27:1 31:19 34:9	Star 6:13 13:21
reviewed 52:17	82:11	79:19	35:24 36:12,19	start 4:2 17:6 21:5
reviewing 64:6	scheduled 26:22	shaking 23:17	37:1 39:11	25:18 33:10
right 11:2 14:1,8	schedules 84:8	short 34:2 69:2,8	40:13 41:14	37:19 58:3 75:7
14:13 17:24	Scientist 4:20	shorthand 85:3,5	47:6 50:2 51:14	75:9
19:20 23:19	Scott 2:17 70:3,21	shortly 84:7	53:11 55:3	started 27:4
27:17,19 28:3	75:7,11	show 77:24 78:22	68:12,20 75:4,6	State 1:12
35:15 42:23	screening 79:18	shows 15:17 49:16	75:12 76:19	stated 21:9 22:16
44:11 45:14	screwed 75:7	side 24:14 52:10	78:10 83:6	42:20
51:6 57:21 60:4	SCS 32:23	53:14,16,18,20	sort 22:5 30:19	statement 8:18
66:22 68:13,23	search 5:19	53:22	33:17 56:8	9:13 10:4,11
69:15 72:8	second 19:17 27:5	Sierra 24:11	78:14 79:9	36:9 38:2 82:2,8
73:12,14 74:22	72:8	83:13	sound 6:2,6 82:18	statements 7:24
76:8 78:6 82:24	see 9:7 17:23 22:4	signature 85:11	source 37:5	8:4 58:5
rightfully 64:11	22:5 27:15	significance 56:6	sources 54:16	states 16:11 62:4
risk 28:21	33:12 34:13	significantly	55:11,15,17,20	70:10
River 26:4 38:21	47:5,7 49:23	27:13	south 38:1	stating 17:10
RMR 1:12 85:18	59:1,24 62:12	similar 18:13	southside 34:17	statistics 22:4
rock 40:16	68:13 69:2 82:7	simply 5:19 17:12	35:7 36:4,8	Staudacher 2:18
rude 34:15	seeing 57:8 69:5	38:3	37:17 43:17	11:9,12,17,21
rule 74:4	82:5	simultaneous	44:15 46:18	12:5 14:6,8,9,12
rules 5:2	seek 75:2	82:14,16	sparked 63:20	15:6 21:21
run 18:1,13 19:5	seeking 7:7	single 46:14	speak 5:22 6:3,7	45:13,15 52:4,18
			•	•

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 09/09/2020

August 4, 2020

				Page 97
53:12 54:3,14	supposed 32:21	40:9 44:2 47:6	8:15 9:4,19	80:9 82:9
59:3,5,6	sure 4:21 13:3	49:8 52:24	62:22	thinking 13:19
stay 33:15 84:15	16:6,22 26:14	58:10 61:9	thank 4:13,24 7:1	82:13
stenographic 85:8	30:9,13,22 36:6	66:12 69:2,8	9:14 10:1,12,14	third 73:14
Stephanie 2:7 9:9	36:6 50:22	84:3	16:7 23:2 36:21	Thomas 2:19
10:2,2,6,11	51:10 59:21	taken 1:12 33:4	39:13 54:9	11:22 54:18
stephanie.diers	60:13 61:11	69:11 80:20	56:11,12,17 68:2	Thorn 32:23
2:10	65:8 68:7,8	85:9	68:23,24 69:9	33:14 34:3
steps 47:20 48:2	74:20 81:6	takes 27:9 48:6	70:6 72:6 75:9	35:16
49:8	83:13,21 84:2	talk 6:4 52:14	76:6 78:3,6	THORNBURG
Steven 1:12 85:3	swear 10:21 11:3	67:2	79:11,13 81:18	2:12
85:18	11:5 69:21	talked 73:8	81:19 84:4,5,9	Thornton 32:12
Stickney 7:13	sworn 8:3 11:24	talking 29:21	84:12,14,16,17	32:22 38:12
71:2 74:24	12:12 70:5	43:15 44:3 51:4	84:18	42:1 44:10 77:3
storage 25:8 29:9	symbol 6:11	53:9 66:2,14	Thanks 11:2	77:3
41:4 80:12,16	system 7:10,12	68:6 70:22	they'd 33:10	thought 27:5
storm 61:10 66:16	19:4,8,23 20:16	TARP 25:6,9,16	thing 30:15 52:4	33:11 37:22
stormwater 28:11	22:1,17,24 23:6	25:19 26:20	61:14 84:12	thoughts 82:12
54:19,21 55:11	25:16 26:2,4,7	34:7 35:6,21	things 25:17 28:1	three 12:2 16:13
55:17,24 56:1	26:19 27:13	48:5,7 51:10,12	28:10,14,17 41:7	63:2
60:3,22 61:5,7	28:5,13 31:8	51:16 52:5,12,12	41:9 49:22	thumb 15:14
61:13 65:22	34:20 38:1,17,21	53:12,19 58:9	58:13,13 59:1	tie 24:12,13
66:24	38:24 46:18,24	59:10 61:3	84:14	TIM 2:5
Street 1:13 85:19	47:5,8 48:9,21	65:16 66:3,20	think 9:9,10,22	time 5:13,23 8:5
studies 55:18	51:5,13,19 52:5	70:10,15 71:9	11:3 14:1,3 15:5	21:15,18 27:16
60:20	52:12,13,22	74:10	15:20 17:17	29:6,12,21,23
study 29:21 61:8	53:10,11,13 54:4	TARP-related	18:1,9,18 19:7,9	37:13 42:6 43:3
62:8 79:17	54:16,22 55:24	74:11	20:8 21:4 25:19	44:18 53:1,15
sub 72:8 73:14	56:7 57:2 58:9	TCR 20:5 21:3	26:15,17 28:19	55:6 65:6 67:24
subject 8:1,3,5,6,8	59:10 65:23	Technical 2:3,4	30:7 32:7,13	68:1,3 80:13
47:10 75:1	66:21 70:14,23	61:18	34:12 35:3	82:3 84:11
submit 8:4 62:22	71:2,21,24 72:12	tell 17:13 34:13	36:17 39:10	Time-Limited 4:5
submitted 12:19	73:2,6 76:13	telling 45:22	41:17 43:6,11,18	7:6 80:11,13
14:23 57:14	79:4	temporarily 32:21	43:19,22 46:1,9	times 50:16 51:2
62:22	system-wide 72:4	35:23	46:16 47:15	51:18
subsequent 64:4	systems 52:6	temporary 77:2	49:4,8,10,11	Tipsord 2:3 4:16
substantial 7:16	53:14 71:14	term 21:12,17	50:20 51:20	4:22 10:5,21
sufficient 21:18		23:1 29:5 72:13	53:2 55:5,10,17	11:6 12:3 13:20
Suite 2:14 85:19	T	72:23 73:4	56:2 58:5,16	14:16 18:16,19
supplement 15:6	T 3:1	terms 20:1,24	59:8,16 61:14,17	20:7,20 23:16
supplemental	table 14:23 15:3	28:4 48:10 49:6	65:4 68:21	31:2,6,14,17
19:23 20:17,22	tables 13:1 16:3	49:14 55:14	71:13,15 73:24	69:5 70:19
26:18	take 6:3 22:3,9	Terrific 12:12	74:2 75:5,13	72:15 75:4,6,11
support 16:19	30:8 32:18,20	testify 7:21 8:2	76:1,23 77:1,8	82:5 84:13,15
17:4 55:19	37:16 39:18	testimony 5:8,17	77:21 78:19	TL's 82:14
	•	•	1	1

				1496 70
TLWQS 7:7,7,10	67:13 78:11	users 6:12	want 6:3 10:6	48:9 49:11,19,20
16:19 17:4 20:2	tunnels 57:18	uses 18:11	14:21 16:22	51:4 52:6 53:3
20:24 21:13	Twait 2:17 69:22	usual 34:15	17:14 40:15	62:24 68:2,15
22:23 25:23	70:3,16,18,21,21	utilize 65:19	41:12 43:12	69:15 80:12,12
26:2 58:21	70.3,10,18,21,21	utilize 03.19	48:23 83:18,21	80:15 83:14
	, ,	V		
72:13,24 73:4	73:7,18 75:5,10	valid 16:17 17:2	84:9,12	84:19
75:3,23 77:12	75:13,20 76:1,21	17:18 18:4,11	wanted 23:12	we've 41:20 42:2
80:24	77:10,20 78:8,19	value 40:21	49:6 65:8 68:17	42:10 44:6 52:2
today 4:4 5:4 7:4	79:10 80:1,9	variance 25:23	69:2 83:22	53:18 54:11
7:19 24:11	81:6,11,15	26:2,8 28:24	wants 39:17,18	62:21 67:22
63:21	two 11:3 16:9	29:6 38:17,23	66:6	73:8
today's 6:19	32:14,16 55:5		warrant 52:7	wear 24:13
told 36:2 40:17	63:2	45:18 47:11,12	wasn't 24:12	weather 47:2,9
50:1	two-year 66:15	47:12,16 48:3,17	29:24 38:18	49:5 54:16
Tom 46:22		49:3,12,20 54:12	wastewater 7:13	55:23 78:15
tough 78:20	<u>U</u>	54:13 56:9,10	water 1:4 2:16 4:6	Webex 5:15 6:1,9
transcribed 85:9	U.S 36:2 37:3,9,11	63:8 68:6 78:17	4:7 7:6 18:11,12	6:16,18,23 81:20
transcribing 4:2	37:14 70:12,24	80:6,17	22:1 28:13,22	82:2
transcript 5:24	73:9,15 76:12,15	variances 55:19	29:14 32:15	Webex-ville 69:3
6:21,22 7:2 85:7	76:19,22 77:5	various 4:19	34:4 35:2 36:14	website 5:19
transitional 22:19	79:17,22 80:3	55:18	47:18 48:9 60:9	went 32:4 76:4
29:8 30:2,17,20	ultimately 60:21	versus 4:8 39:20	61:17 80:11,13	west 1:12 32:22
30:23 31:11	understand 24:18	56:10 61:20	Waters 62:5	85:19
32:1,6,17,24	24:19 25:3 27:2	video 5:15 6:16,19	waterway 7:9	wet 47:2,9 49:5
33:4,9,15 34:18	27:15 59:24	view 5:17	46:24 67:5	54:16 55:23
39:17 42:8,12	62:14 66:14	Village 44:7	waterways 22:3	78:15
treatment 7:13	understanding	violating 75:2	way 8:10 38:15	Whoville 48:16,16
28:5	15:7 25:15	violation 28:22	41:19 44:22	48:22,23 49:2
treatments 19:13	37:12 38:4	44:24 45:20,23	45:1,22 46:3,5	wide 52:11
trends 21:19 22:5	45:19 60:2 66:7	46:7 47:8 48:12	46:13 47:13	widespread 77:13
29:2	undertaken 20:4	48:21 49:7,17	48:24 57:19	willing 41:17
trial 85:5,8	21:2	78:15,23 79:1,6	58:23 60:7	wish 6:7 23:4 84:2
tried 42:2	underway 60:24	violations 21:11	65:21 68:17	wishing 8:2 82:7,7
triggered 74:14	Unit 2:3,4	29:14,20 44:15	72:4 74:9 78:13	witness 9:16,19
trouble 25:15	unmute 6:8,11,14	47:18,22 75:15	we'll 4:10 54:5	10:19,22 11:23
65:18 66:3	10:5,6 11:7,14	volume 14:24 15:2	59:13 63:22	12:16 55:5
true 46:18 85:6	13:21 14:8 20:6	15:17 33:12	77:4	69:19 70:4
try 27:14 59:1	54:9 55:4 68:2	58:1 61:1 62:5	we're 7:4 13:4	81:20 85:11
66:1 72:21	69:4 81:21 82:4	64:19 67:14,18	18:9 19:9,11	witnesses 5:13
trying 14:16 24:5	unmuted 18:19	67:21 80:7	25:8 27:18	8:21,22,24 9:11
24:17 27:21	72:15	voluminous 13:7	28:23 29:20	9:15,23 10:24
28:23 33:21	upset 48:14	vs 1:6	31:8 34:2,3	11:3,4,6 12:16
38:19,22 47:3,23	use 30:16 32:21		35:15,17 36:1,21	13:16 24:2
47:24 48:4,9	64:4,12 77:2	W	38:19,21 44:11	56:14 65:5 69:4
49:11,19,20 56:5	user 77:23	Wacker 2:13	47:4,23,24 48:4	69:18,21
47.11,17,40 30.3		wait 70:19 71:8	+1.4,43,44 40.4	07.10,41
		<u> </u>		